

Page 2 1 HEARING re Notice of Agenda of Matters Scheduled for Hearing 2 on February 14, 2019 3 Motion to Extend Exclusivity Period for Filing a Chapter 11 4 Plan and Disclosure Statement / Motion of Debtors Pursuant 5 6 to Section 1121(d) of the Bankruptcy Code to Extend 7 Exclusive Periods (document #2312) 8 9 Limited Objection of the Official Committee of Unsecured 10 Creditors (document #2544) 11 12 Motion Midwood Management Corp. to Confirm Termination or 13 Absence of Stay Motion for Order Declaring Automatic Stay 14 Inapplicable to Non-Residential real Property Lease 15 (document 932) 16 17 Debtors' Objection (document #2497) 18 19 Midwood Reply (document #2578) 20 21 Motion to Confirm Termination or Absence of Stay Motion for 22 Order Declaring Automatic Stay Inapplicable to Non-23 Residential Real Property Lease filed by Veronique Urban on 24 behalf of Midwood Management Corp. (document #932) 25

Page 3 1 Motion to Compel Immediate Payment of Postpetition 2 Arrearages; Granting an Administrative Claim; and Compelling 3 Debtors to Assume or Reject Agreement (document #1477) 4 5 Motion of Milton Manufacturing, LLC to (I) Compel the Debtor 6 to Assume or Reject Purchase Orders Related to Craftsman 7 Branded Goods Ordered by the Debtor Being Warehoused in Taiwan, and (II) Grant Related Relief filed by Joel D. 8 9 Applebaum on behalf of Milton Manufacturing, LLC (document 10 #1479) 11 12 Debtors' Objection (document #2481) 13 Debtors' Objection (document #1547) 14 15 16 Motion for Relief from Stay to Allow Civil Litigation in 17 Action (1) and for Action, (2) To Proceed, and for the 18 Parties to Proceed with Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) and Settlement Negotiations to which had Begun Since July 19 20 27, 2018, with Certificate of Service (document #1006) 21 22 Response of Cyrus Capital Partners, L.P. (document #2470) 23 24 Limited Objection of OCO Capital Partners, L.P. (document 25 #2471)

Page 4 Joinder of Och-Ziff Capital Structure Arbitrage Master Fund, Ltd. (document #2474) Ex-Parte Motion of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors for the Entry of an Order Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Sections 105 and 1103 and Bankruptcy Rules 2004 and 9016, Authorizing the Examination of the CDS Participants (document #1557) Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde

[. g = 0. 00	
		Page 5
1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP	
4	Attorneys for the Debtor	
5	767 Fifth Avenue	
6	New York, NY 10153	
7		
8	BY: SUNNY SINGH	
9	RAY S. SCHROCK	
10	CANDACE M. ARTHUR	
11	GARRETT FAIL	
12		
13	AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP	
14	Attorneys for UCC	
15	One Bryant Park	
16	New York, NY 10036	
17		
18	BY: PHILIP C. DUBLIN	
19	SARA L. BRAUNER	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	Page 6	
1	MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & MCCLOY LLP	
2	Attorney for Cyrus Partners	
3	2029 Century Park East - 33rd Floor	
4	Los Angeles, CA 90067	
5		
6	BY: THOMAS R. KRELLER	
7		
8	CLARK HILL	
9	Attorney for Milton Manufacturing LLC	
10	210 Carnegie Center	
11	Suite 102	
12	Princeton, NJ 08540	
13		
14	BY: NOLA R. BENCZE	
15		
16	HERRICK, FEINSTEIN LLP	
17	Attorney for Proposed Special Conflicts Counsel	
18	To the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors	
19	Two Park Avenue	
20	New York, NY 10016	
21		
22	BY: STEVEN B. SMITH	
23	SEAN E. O'DONNELL	
24		
25		

	Page 7
1	FARRELL FRITZ P.C.
2	Attorney for Midwood Management Corp.
3	400 RXR Plaza
4	Uniondale, NY 11556
5	
6	BY: PATRICK T. COLLINS
7	
8	SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
9	Attorneys for Wilmington Trust, NA as Indenture Trustee
10	and Collateral Agent
11	620 Eighth Avenue
12	New York, NY 10018
13	
14	BY: EDWARD M. FOX
15	
16	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
17	Attorneys for the U.S. Trustee
18	201 Varick Street, Suite 1006
19	New York, NY 10014
20	
21	BY: PAUL SCHWARTZBERG (TELEPHONICALLY)
22	
23	APPEARING TELEPHONICALLY:
24	XY PANG
25	ARLENE R. ALVES

	Page 8	
1	RAPHAEL ANDREWS	
2	NEGISA BALLUKU	
3	ALIX BROZMAN	
4	SARA COELHO	
5	JASON DIBATTISTA	
6	TED A. DILLMAN	
7	DANIEL M. EGGERMANN	
8	WILLIAM P. FENNELL	
9	DEBORAH L. FLETCHER	
10	GREGG M. GALARDI	
11	IVAN M. GOLD	
12	KRISTOPHER M. HANSEN	
13	TAYLOR B. HARRISON	
14	CATHERINE HEIZENRATER	
15	ANA LUCIA HURTADO	
16	KELLY E. KLEIST	
17	MATTHEW KOCH	
18	STEVEN KOSSON	
19	ZACHARY D. LANIER	
20	STEPHANIE C. LIEB	
21	TERESA LII	
22	MICHASEL G. LINN	
23	KATHERINE E. MASSEY	
24	MICHAEL MITTELMAN	
25	BYRAN OBERG	

		Page 9
1	THOMAS ONDER	
2	SEAN O'NEAL	
3	RICHARD PEDONE	
4	JASON M. PIERCE	
5	RYAN REINERT	
6	JOSH F. SAUL	
7	COURTNEY A. SCHAEL	
8	PAUL SCHWARTZBERG	
9	PETER B. SIROKA	
10	CHRIST STAUBLE	
11	BRAD SWEENEY	
12	EVAN J. ZUCKER	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Page 10 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 THE COURT: Please be seated. Okay, good morning. 3 In re Sears Holdings Corporation. MR. SCHROCK: Good morning, Your Honor. Ray 4 5 Schrock of Weil Gotshal & Manges. I'm here on behalf of the 6 Debtors. It's good to be in front of you again, Your Honor, 7 with a substantially smaller audience. 8 THE COURT: Yes, we could have used the other 9 courtroom, but in any event, here we are. 10 MR. SCHROCK: Your Honor, first on the agenda is 11 the Debtors' Motion to Extend the Exclusivity Period. As we 12 notified your Chambers yesterday, we did resolve the limited 13 objection of the Official Unsecured Creditors Committee. 14 THE COURT: Great. 15 MR. SCHROCK: I have a revised order. May I 16 approach? 17 THE COURT: So, it's, in essence, a 60-day 18 extension? MR. SCHROCK: It's a 60-day extension, Your Honor, 19 20 it's a -- we agreed to provide an update on the plan 21 process, which we would anyway, at the next omnibus hearing 22 on March 21st, and for the record, of course, we're going to 23 agree to negotiate with the UCC on the plan in good faith, 24 which we would always do. 25 THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCHROCK: But I do have a red line of the order, if you'd like to see it.

THE COURT: That's fine. You can hand that up. For what it's worth, that's what I had in mind, if you hadn't agreed, so.

MR. SCHROCK: Well, I'm glad we agreed.

THE COURT: Okay, so, this will get entered.

MR. SCHROCK: Okay, great, thanks very much, Your And just a brief update for you on -- since what we had going since we were last in front of you. We did, as I know you -- as I'm sure you're aware, we did close the sale on Monday, thank goodness, so that was a big accomplishment, obviously, in these cases. We've also filed a Motion for a Claims Bar Date, and for 503(b)(9) procedures, which provide for, we think, an expedited and efficient way to resolve those 503(b)(9) claims. We filed this morning, a Motion for PI procedures for Personal Injury Claims, so that we can resolve those efficiently. We have started to move forward with the PVGC settlement, including executing Trusteeship papers so that the PBGC can take over the plan. They would then dismiss the action in the Northern District of Illinois for an involuntary termination. And now, frankly, we're turning the Plan and Disclosure Statement, sitting down with the UCC, sitting down with the other constituents, and our intent is to move very quickly, because --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 THE COURT: It would make sense.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SCHROCK: Exactly, we have to finish this up quickly. We intend to do that, and so, we have -- the 60-day extension takes the plan filing period out to April 15th, but my expectation is that we can get something on file substantially before that, but we will work with the UCC as well as other parties to make sure that we try and bring it to Your Honor in as smooth a process as possible.

THE COURT: That's all to the good. The bar date motion, I'm assuming you don't need a hearing on that. On the 503, I guess that's for the next omnibus hearing, or are we looking to do that --

MR. SCHROCK: It's part of the --

THE COURT: It's all part of the same motion, so you're not going to be looking for a hearing on that?

MR. SCHROCK: It is. Not unless it's needed, Your
Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right, that's fine. I think the sooner rather than better for that as well.

MR. SCHROCK: Okay, fine. With that, Your Honor,

I think that we're going to go with -- go out of order, with

your permission, because the ex-parte motion of the UCC for

the 2004 examination related to the MTNs is here on consent.

THE COURT: Okay. I saw that email, so, why don't we take that motion? Of course, it's no longer ex-parte,

and it hasn't been for a while, but --

MR. O'DONNELL: Good morning, Your Honor. For the record, Sean O'Donnell with Herrick, Feinstein. With my is my colleague, Steven Smith. We are the Proposed Conflict Counsel for the Unsecured Creditors Committee.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. O'DONNELL: As an aside, our application -retention application was submitted yesterday morning. I
understand that that's scheduled to be heard at the next
omnibus hearing on March 21st.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. O'DONNELL: Okay. Your Honor, just very briefly, so, on January 12th, 2018, on behalf of the Committee, we moved pursuant to 2004(a) to conduct an examination of certain parties that participated in the Debtors' sale or potential sale of certain medium-term notes that were owned by the Debtors under a non-Debtor affiliate, Sears Re. Your Honor, there were three responses that were filed in response to our 2004 Motion. Cyrus filed a response that Cyrus Capital Partners, which stated that they, quote, "did not oppose the Rule 2004 Motion," but, pursuant to our agreement with Cyrus counsel, they reserve their rights with respect to the scope and timing of the discovery. There was also a limited objection, and that was filed by OCO Capital Partners on behalf of itself and its

managed funds. OCO, Your Honor, the funds that are managed were previously managed by Omega, represented by Quinn Emanuel. Omega ceased, as I understand it, being the managers as of January 1, 2019, but it's the same funds that we were talking about previously.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. O'DONNELL: And then Och-Ziff Capital filed a joinder to that motion. The were no other responses that were filed with respect to the 2004 motion, as you noted, is no longer ex-parte, and what we've done since filing our Motion in accordance with representations we made to the Court in our reply brief, is we've reached out to the parties, and I'm happy to report that we've reached an amicable resolution. Just very briefly, if I can outline it for Your Honor --

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. O'DONNELL: -- and we have a proposed order.

So, the scope of the order for now, Your Honor, would be as follows -- or the scope of discovery, excuse me. We would be seeking discovery as it relates to the sale of the MTNs, also the MTNs themselves, and the SRAC Credit Default Swaps. We would also be seeking discovery, the second category has to do with the de-risking and the offsetting transactions that we understand took place between December 20th, 2018 and January 1 -- January 4, excuse me, 2019. They were

described in some of the pleadings that were submitted by Omega to Your Honor.

THE COURT: Great.

MR. O'DONNELL: And then finally, Your Honor, there is a category that relates to the Barclays Consortium order -- offer, excuse me. You may recall, at the December 20th hearing, there was reference to that. We're seeking discovery of that as well. In terms of the time period, there was a disagreement with the parties as to what would be the right time period, and based on discussions with counsel, for now, we've agreed that the time period would be December 20th, 2018 through January 4th, 2019, which is when we understand that the transactions, the de-risking and offsetting transactions closed.

Finally, Your Honor, we would also be seeking discovery as it relates to -- pardon me, we would also be taking a deposition of each of the CES participants, and all of this is without prejudice to us coming back on behalf of the Committee saying that we need additional discovery, and of course, it's without prejudice to the other parties saying, no you don't.

THE COURT: Okay. That sounds like a reasonable resolution. The one thing I would add is that I guess, from what I heard, you still have a reservation with Cyrus and maybe the other parties as to whether -- when you actually

Page 16 1 send out your document requests or the like, if it's 2 something over-broad or the like, they can raise it with you 3 and you can still try to work it out, or have you actually 4 worked out the scope? 5 MR. O'DONNELL: So, we've worked out the scope. I 6 will say, it's noted in the order, this is where I get out 7 in front of my skis, but we then have to identify the 8 custodians, the search terms, et cetera. 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. O'DONNELL: We're going to work with the other parties on that, and we've agreed that if we can't reach a 11 12 resolution, which I don't expect to be the case, then we 13 would come back before Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay, but then that -- the short 15 answer to my question is, I won't be expecting motions to 16 quash or Chambers conferences on the scope --17 MR. O'DONNELL: Exactly. 18 THE COURT: -- because you've worked that out with 19 the parties? 20 MR. O'DONNELL: And it's laid out in the proposed order that we have, if I can approach, Your Honor? 21 22 THE COURT: Okay. Good enough, that's fine. You can email that to Chambers. 23 24 MR. O'DONNELL: Yes. Would you like a hard copy 25 as well, or just the --

Page 17 1 THE COURT: I don't need it. I think that you've 2 summarized it, unless any of the other parties who (indiscernible) want to add anything. Okay. So, you can 3 email that over to Chambers. 4 5 MR. O'DONNELL: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Thank you. 7 MR. SCHROCK: Your Honor, I believe we have a 8 couple of third-party motions, but in the vein of minimizing 9 administrative expenses, may I be excused? 10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 MR. SCHROCK: Okay. 12 THE COURT: Okay, thank you. Okay, so, we were 13 going back to the agenda now, and I think the next matter on 14 the agenda is the Midwood Management Motion. 15 MR. COLLINS: Good morning, Your Honor. Patrick 16 Collins, Farrell Fritz on behalf of Midwood Management Corp. 17 THE COURT: Good morning. 18 MR. COLLINS: Midwood Management is the agent for 19 Expressway Plaza I and Farmingville Associates. They are 20 the tenants-in-common, the owners of the property out in 21 Farmington, New York. There's a shopping center there known 22 as Expressway Plaza. One of the tenants in the shopping center is Kmart, under a lease entered into in 1991. A copy 23 of the lease is next to our Motion. We filed the Motion 24 25 seeking entry of an order pursuant to § 362(b)(10) of the

Bankruptcy Code, declaring that the automatic stay does not apply to Landlord's efforts to recover the leased premises from Kmart. The automatic stay does not apply because the term of the lease is expired. The term expired by operation of the conditional limitation provision in the lease, an uncured pre-petition default, in Landlord's pre-petition service of a Notice of Election to treat the term of the lease as expired within ten days on account of a default. THE COURT: The default is a payment default, right? MR. COLLINS: Failure to pay additional rent for the Landlord's costs to carry out maintenance at the leased premises, that's correct. THE COURT: Under 25(a) of the lease? MR. COLLINS: Correct. Under New York law, as a result of the condition limitation, the term of the lease was shortened upon landlord's service of the Lease Termination Notice. The term is shortened from the termination date stated in the lease to ten days from the date notice was given. THE COURT: Well, can we stop on that point? MR. COLLINS: Sure. THE COURT: What New York law are you referring I mean, the Debtors dispute whether there actually was a default, under 25(a) and (f), that would tender the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Pg 19 of 95 Page 19 1 obligation to pay more of the rent, the roughly over --2 well, over \$215,000 of additional rent. MR. COLLINS: Correct, it has been --3 THE COURT: So, what is the -- I mean, that's 4 5 still in dispute, so, how did it terminate? 6 MR. COLLINS: It terminated because the Landlord 7 did not respond to -- the Tenant did not respond to the 8 Landlord's Notice of Default and opportunity to cure at all, 9 neither to protest, neither to pay, neither to ask for more 10 time. 11 THE COURT: Well, it's doing it now. 12 disputed that there was a default. 13 MR. COLLINS: It is doing it now, after the 14 expiration of the ten-day period in the Lease Termination 15 Notice. If the Court rules that § 362(b)(10) were to apply, 16 we would, of course, then go to State Court and commence a 17 Landlord-Tenant Action to evict Kmart, and Kmart can arrange

whatever defenses it wants in the Landlord-Tenant Action.

THE COURT: So, the reason I asked is, I don't think you cited to me any New York cases that stand for the proposition that, if the Debtor disputes the underlying default that causes the conditional termination, the Landlord automatically has the right to assume that the lease is terminated for all purposes. Doesn't it have to be a Court determination of that dispute?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 20 1 MR. COLLINS: As I said, the right of Kmart to 2 contest the default is still there. They can assert that in 3 State Court, if they so choose. THE COURT: Okay. All right, so --4 MR. COLLINS: Or here, if it -- or here. 5 6 THE COURT: -- what is the -- but then it hasn't 7 terminated, because that dispute still is live, isn't it? 8 MR. COLLINS: No, it's terminated, depending on 9 who's right and who's wrong. 10 THE COURT: But, I know, but that hasn't been 11 decided yet, so it's hard for me to see how it's actually 12 terminated, since that issue is still an open issue. 13 MR. COLLINS: I guess, my view is that if the 14 Landlord is correct, the lease is terminated. 15 THE COURT: Well, that's right, and if the Debtor 16 is correct, it hasn't. 17 MR. COLLINS: That's right. THE COURT: So, it's hard to say it has 18 19 terminated, because it's an open issue. 20 MR. COLLINS: But the events have already 21 occurred. I mean, the events --22 THE COURT: That's fair. MR. COLLINS: -- the events that will lead to a 23 determination as to whether the lease is terminated or not 24 25 have already occurred.

Page 21 1 THE COURT: But you're saying it actually has 2 terminated. 3 MR. COLLINS: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Are you asking me for a determination 5 today that it has? 6 MR. COLLINS: I'm asking the Court for a 7 determination of § 362(b)(10), under that provision, that the automatic stay does not apply because the lease is 8 9 terminated. THE COURT: But, are you asking on the basis of 10 11 saying that you want me to decide whether your client is 12 correct that there was, in fact, the default that gave rise 13 to the payment obligation, or that -- or, in the 14 alternative, that the Debtor is correct and I need to decide 15 that issue; or are you basically saying that I should assume 16 that, if the Landlord asserts there's a default, then that's 17 enough for a termination? 18 MR. COLLINS: We don't dispute that the issue 19 needs to be decided somewhere. 20 THE COURT: All right. 21 MR. COLLINS: And that can be here, that can be in 22 Landlord-Tenant court in State Court. 23 THE COURT: All right. So, it doesn't seem to me 24 that it's actually terminated. 25 MR. COLLINS: Once again, I --

Page 22 1 THE COURT: For the purposes of this hearing. 2 MR. COLLINS: Well, I --3 THE COURT: Which -- I'm not trying to get you to say that your position is incorrect. I fully understand 4 5 your position. All I'm saying is, it doesn't seem to me 6 that it actually has terminated because there's still an 7 open issue. 8 MR. COLLINS: It's still an open issue, but there 9 are open issues in the other cases where courts --10 THE COURT: Actually, I disagree with that 11 completely. And I also disagree with the meaning of § 12 362(b)(10), which doesn't say, "has terminated," it says, 13 "stated term". 14 MR. COLLINS: Correct. 15 THE COURT: When I look at the lease, the term of 16 the lease is stated in Paragraph 2, not in Paragraph 25. 17 MR. COLLINS: But that's what Policy Realty is all about, Your Honor. 18 19 THE COURT: Policy Realty is not really about 20 that. Policy Realty is about the fact that when a lease 21 terminates for any reason --22 MR. COLLINS: Right. THE COURT: -- it can't be assumed because it's no 23 24 longer a lease. That's different than (b)(10). At least, 25 that's what the editors of Collier's say, and the majority

Pg 23 of 95 Page 23 1 of cases. 2 MR. COLLINS: But Policy Realty dealt with the conditional limitation that -- that's the nature of a 3 conditional limitation, it shortens --4 5 THE COURT: That has already been decided. 6 MR. COLLINS: -- it shortens the terms of the 7 lease. 8 THE COURT: There are two different points. 9 MR. COLLINS: Right, okay. 10 THE COURT: And, frankly I don't think this was 11 necessary for the decision in Policy Realty, or, I don't 12 think, even briefed to the District Court or the Court of Appeal -- or the Second Circuit. There -- and Collier's 13 14 makes this clarification, too: there are two different 15 concepts pertaining to termination of a lease and the 16 Debtors' ability to continue with the lease. Concept one is 17 really the concept that was issue in Policy Realty, which 18 is, you can't assume a lease that is over, that is done, and you know it's done, because there's no remaining issue. You 19 20 can't create a new lease, in other words, you have to live 21 with the lease you have. That's a function of just the 22 definition in § 365, which is the word, "lease". 23 don't have a lease anywhere because it's over, you don't 24 have it.

So, the case law is quite clear, you can't revive

a lease if it's over, although, even there, the courts say, under New York law, you actually can revive a lease under RPAPL 749 because there's an ability to go back to State Court and say, reconsider the issuance of the order of eviction, Judge, the Debtor is ready to assume the lease under 365; and there are plenty of cases that deal with that: Mad Lolo, Joker Enterprises, et cetera. That's one set of issues, is the lease over or not?

There's a separate set of issues, which are covered by § 362(b)(10), and § 541(b)(2), and that's a much more limited provision that says, if the lease is expired by "its stated term," not that it is terminated, but expired by "its stated term," then the stay doesn't apply and it's not property of the estate. Now, it's pretty easy for people to figure out, including judges: even judges could figure this out, that if a lease runs for ten years, that's its stated term, the lease runs for ten years, and you're now in year 11, the lease is over its stated term, it's over. And Congress said, well, at least in those circumstances, we're not going to engage in any more speculation. It's over.

That's what, I think, § 362(b)(10) and the same provision in 541, are dealing with, not a conditional termination or consequential termination, which are dealt with in the cases that deal with whether you still have a lease or not. But, all of those cases, and it's the same

under New York law, that's why we have Yellowstone injunctions, is, if a landlord says the lease is over because you've defaulted and we have this default provision, we can send a notice and if it's not cured, then the lease is terminated, that's a whole separate set of issues where you have controversies. You have them all the time. You know, "No, Judge, there wasn't a default, you know, they -- this is a pretext. We've been living up to our lease." And so, that's a whole separate set of issues, and those leases aren't over until it's over. And that has to be decided by someone. I don't -- I believe -- I know there are a few cases going the other way, but I believe that Congress understood that distinction, and by using the phrase, "stated term," made it.

So, I think you're absolutely right that Policy
Realty stands for the proposition that if the lease really
is over, for whatever reason, whether it's because the
stated term has run, or because there's a, you know, a
consequential termination, the Debtor can't revive it,
although, frankly, there's a lot within that phrase, "the
lease is over," because we know that it actually can be
revived, even if the warrant of eviction is issued, because
that's what Mad Lolo and 749 of the RPAPL say. But, in
Policy Realty, that's what it was: it was a consequential
breach, it wasn't a "term" breach, and it was over. I

1 appreciate that they quote -- they cite 362, but they didn't 2 need to, and I think if anyone had actually made the argument to them, they would have gotten it, and I view that 3 application as dicta, as far as § 362(b)(10) and § 4 5 541(b)(2), though. It's just a separate -- it's not 6 necessary, and it's against the majority of case law, the 7 leading commentator, and the plain language of the statute. 8 MR. COLLINS: I would point out to you, it's a 9 District Court decision that was affirmed by the Second 10 Circuit. 11 THE COURT: I know, but it didn't -- it's dicta on 12 that point, because the lease was over. 13 MR. COLLINS: But the reason --14 THE COURT: And the law is really clear, that if 15 you know the lease is over, if there's no issue about the 16 dispute, then -- I'm not alone in this. In the Artisanal 17 case, Judge Garrity reached the same result. It's not over. 18 It's a consequential default, it's disputed. And there are 19 plenty of other judges that have done the same thing. MR. COLLINS: Understood. But I would submit that 20 21 the reasoning of Policy Realty is sound. I mean, that's 22 what a conditional limitation is. THE COURT: It's totally sound in terms of the 23 24 proposition that, if a lease is truly terminated, for 25 whatever reason, then it cannot be revived. That's -- it's

Page 27 1 totally sound on that point. And that's what the -- you 2 know, everything else under that case is dicta. MR. COLLINS: On Policy Realty, it hadn't 3 completely terminated as of the filing date. The TRO is 4 5 said to expire later that day, so --6 THE COURT: But there was nothing to do. There 7 was nothing -- there's no way to extend it. There was no 8 dispute about the default. They were done, and they weren't 9 in possession. 10 MR. COLLINS: And they were not in possession. 11 THE COURT: So, it's -- you know, it's -- listen, 12 you may appreciate that this is not the first time I've 13 dealt with these arguments. 14 MR. COLLINS: Mm hmm. 15 THE COURT: And that I think that, obviously, 16 landlord attorneys love Policy Realty, and they frequently 17 cite it for more than it stands for. I don't blame them for 18 doing that, but, you know, it's -- the statute's clear, I 19 believe. We have to decide this issue, whether there's a consequential default, eventually --20 21 MR. COLLINS: You mentioned Artisanal and Lolo, 22 Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Right. 24 MR. COLLINS: In both of those cases, the outcome, 25 in both of those cases, was that the Court ruled that §

Page 28 1 362(b)(10) did not apply --2 THE COURT: Right. 3 MR. COLLINS: -- but that the stay was lifted --THE COURT: Right. 4 MR. COLLINS: -- to allow litigation somewhere to 5 6 determine --7 THE COURT: That's right. 8 MR. COLLINS: -- to determine the underlying 9 issue, so --10 THE COURT: But you haven't sought that relief. 11 MR. COLLINS: Well, because -- because 362 --12 well, because the Sonnax Factors that they cited were in a 13 different context. 14 THE COURT: I know, but there's no motion for relief of the stay in front of me. 15 16 MR. COLLINS: The Court has discretion to award 17 alternate remedies in the course of the outcome, here. THE COURT: Well, I don't know -- I haven't even 18 19 looked at that. Is there an arbitration provision in the 20 lease, for example? I don't know if there's some sort of 21 ADR in the lease that would be relevant to me? Related to 22 that, I don't even know when this lease is stated to be assumed, or are they looking to reject it? I mean, that's 23 clearly a Sonnax factor. There's no reason to send this to 24 25 a State Court litigation if it's going to be rejected

Page 29 1 anyway. Then, I'm just -- then I would decide the damages, 2 if you really want to pursue them, through a proof of claim. So, I think it's premature to -- I mean, I understand why 3 4 you didn't move for relief from the stay, because I think 5 it's premature. 6 MR. COLLINS: So, as long as our rights to -- as 7 to the issue as to whether the lease is terminated is 8 preserved, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Oh, clearly. Clearly it is, and you 10 may -- you may be right about that, ultimately. It may be 11 that your client was perfectly within its rights to declare 12 an emergency and do the parking lot work and bill \$215,000 13 at 18 percent interest, which actually, where is that 14 provision, the 18 percent provision? 15 MR. COLLINS: In 25(f), Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 17 MR. COLLINS: If you keep reading, it says that --18 THE COURT: All right. So, it may be perfectly appropriate, but it's a -- given the emails, the Debtors did 19 20 dispute it when it first -- when they first got the notice. 21 MR. COLLINS: Yeah, we don't read the emails that 22 way. 23 THE COURT: Well, I mean, the guy says, we don't 24 think it's an emergency. MR. COLLINS: Well, the work was done, and they 25

don't dispute that the work needed to be done.

another day, I think. So, I will deny the Motion insofar it seeks a declaration that the stay doesn't apply to the Landlord's actions to terminate the lease, and to enforce its rights under the lease, including eviction, and the related proposition that, under § 541, the lease isn't property of the estate. That denial, though, is clearly without prejudice to the Landlord's contention that, on a proper record, with, you know, proper procedures, which I'm assuming would include discovery under the Part VII rules, that, in fact, the default that resulted in the assertion that another \$215,000 and change was owing, in fact, did occur, and was -- that there's no defense to that default.

There is a related proposition that I haven't given a whole lot of thought to, which is that the default here is a payment default, and the Notice in respect of the termination because of the payment default didn't occur until the post-petition period. You may well have a response to that. I think I understand what it is, but that may affect the analysis, too, as opposed to a performance default that, frankly, can't -- you know, you can't rewrite that, if it, in fact, occurred. But I'm going to, therefore -- you know, the Debtor can send in an order to that effect. They should run it by you to make sure, you know, the

reservation -- I'm not a big one on reserving rights, but I think it's worth putting into the Order here.

MR. COLLINS: I think the ruling is clear that the right to argue that the lease is terminated is preserved, and I --

THE COURT: It absolutely is.

MR. COLLINS: -- I appreciate it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: But I -- just to close out the record on this, then, the motion before me seeks a determination that the lease between the parties here terminated, for purposes of § 363(b)(10), either -- well, in fact, it terminated post-petition. But § (b)(10) says that "The filing of a petition under the Bankruptcy Code does not operate as a stay under subsection (a) of this section of any act by a Lessor, under a lease of non-residential real property that has terminated by the expiration of the stated term of the lease before the commencement of, or during, a case under this title, to obtain possession of such property." I'm going to go back and emphasize the phrase: "and has terminated by the expiration of the stated term of the lease". The leading treatise on bankruptcy, Collier on Bankruptcy, notes, at Paragraph 362(b)(10), quote: "It should be noted that this exception is limited to leases under which the stated term expires, not to leases terminated for other reasons. Leases completely terminated

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

pre-petition should not be considered property of the estate because the Debtor retains no legal or equitable interest after termination, except, perhaps, possession, to which the automatic stay would apply. However, a lessor should be stayed from terminating a lease for non-payment of rent, or other cause, after commencement of a bankruptcy case.

Moreover, the Lessor remains stayed from seeking to retake the premises, even after automatic termination of a lease upon an event of default the termination occurs postpetition. Only when the termination is based on expiration of the agreed term of the lease, may the stay be disregarded," close quote.

The most recent case to construe this section, and there's a parallel section in § 541(b)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code, I think, is In re Hotel Equities, LLC, 586 B.R. 870 (Bankr. E.D. Mich.) 586 B.R. -- I'm sorry, 2008. Now, in that case, the lease actually did terminate pre-petition, but not because of the expiration of its stated term. It terminated because of the consequential termination provision for a breach, and the Court there noted that a number of courts have taken the position that termination, under those circumstances, fits within § 362(b)(10), but takes the view with, I think, the majority of the courts and Collier's that (b)(10), by its plain terms, is much more narrow, and just refers to the stated term of the lease, as

opposed to a consequential termination or simple termination. The law is somewhat confused in some of the cases cited for the contrary proposition that the Michigan court disagreed with. They exacerbate that confusion by the two very related concepts that are articulated by Collier's. One is termination of the stated term, the other is termination because the lease is over, in other words, for a consequential default.

And that's complicated here by the fact that the actual termination did occur post-petition, although the -for a payment default, although the notice was sent prepetition, following a Default Notice that was pre-petition.
But, as I said during your oral arguments, I think some of
those cases that don't make the distinction that Collier's
makes, and I think that the statute requires, rely, in large
part, on In re Policy Realty Corp., 2000 U.S. App. LEXIS
8846 (2d Cir. May 2, 2000), and in the lower Court District
Court opinion that appears at 242 B.R. 121 (S.D.N.Y. 1999).

But in that case there was really nothing left, and the Debtor didn't have possession anyway, so, the second proposition stated by Collier's applies, and the application of § 362(b)(10) I view as dictum. That, I believe, is confirmed by a number of opinions, including Judge Garrity's opinion recently in, In re Artisanal 2015, LLC., which is at 2017 Bankr. LEXIS 3813 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Nov. 3, 2017), at

pages 29-33, and Judge Garrity's discussion about how, under New York law, the issue of consequential defaults, which this is -- which is what this is in the facts before me, are dealt with through the Yellowstone injunction process and, even under that process, the fact that a Yellowstone injunction expires does not mean that the lease has been terminated as a result of the consequential default because there has not yet been a determination by a court that the default occurred.

As stated by Warren's Weed New York Real Property, § 82.30, subparagraph 281: "A tenant served with a notice to cure an alleged violation of a lease has two options. can either comply with the landlord's demands or litigate the matter. However, with the landlord's -- "complying with the landlord's demands can be burdensome, however; the lease contains a conditional limitation permitting the landlord to terminate the lease in the event of a tenant's failure to cure the breach prior to the deadline for the cure, the tenant who fails to cure in time will be subject to eviction," but then, and this is the important part of the section: "in the event the court ultimately determines that the tenant's conduct constituted a breach of the lease." That hasn't happened here yet. There hasn't even been a warrant of eviction. There hasn't been a lawsuit started over the dispute.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So, the lease here, I believe, until the dispute is resolved some way, and it may be resolved very quickly under some of the theories that the landlord stated in its reply brief, but until the dispute is resolved, the lease is not terminated under the default provisions, and, therefore, can still be a live lease where the automatic stay applies. That is separate and apart from the fact that the Debtor here is still in possession, unlike the Debtor in Policy Realty. Now, their possession may well simply lead to a very quick lift stay order, but it's not, arguably, covered by Policy Realty either. But I think the main point is that, there is a live lease until the dispute is decided, and therefore the stay still applies. § 362(b)(10) doesn't apply, it's still property of the estate: 541(b)(2), therefore, doesn't apply, and the motion will be denied on that basis.

I'll note that, whether a live lease is or isn't
whether a lease is still alive or not, is a tricky

question, even after the issuance of a warrant of eviction,

given that courts in the Southern District have permitted

debtors, even after the issuance of a warrant of eviction,

that have convinced the judge to approve assumption on the

condition of their warrant being vacated under § 749 of

R.P.A.P.L., that the court will still keep the stay in place

to let the debtor under appropriate circumstances, go back

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 36 1 to State Court and seek the vacatur of the warrant of 2 eviction, as discussed by a number of courts, including 3 Judge Glenn in the Mad Lolo case that the parties have 4 cited. So, I'm going to ask the Debtors to prepare an 5 order, as I said, and they should run it by you before 6 submitting it to Court. 7 MR. COLLINS: One housekeeping matter, Your Honor. 8 The Debtor has been paying, and the landlord has been 9 accepting --10 THE COURT: I think the landlord should certainly 11 feel free to cash the check without prejudice to these 12 rights. 13 MR. COLLINS: Yeah, the --THE COURT: You're not going to be getting lured 14 15 into a finding that you've waived the default by cashing a 16 check. 17 MR. COLLINS: We would ask that that premise be 18 applied to the real estate taxes, which are now --19 THE COURT: Well, if it's covered by the lease, I 20 mean, that's part of the rent. That's -- you know. 21 MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Okay. That doesn't require the Debtor to pay the \$215,000 because that's -- we don't know whether 23 24 that's actually owing or not. 25 MR. COLLINS: I understand.

	1 9 57 61 55
	Page 37
1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Okay, thank you.
4	MR. FAIL: Thank you, Your Honor. The next item
5	on the agenda are the Motions filed by Milton Manufacturing,
6	LLC. I'm not sure if Milton's attorneys oh, its
7	attorneys are here.
8	MS. BENCZE: Yes. Okay. Nola Bencze of Clark
9	Hill on behalf of Milton Manufacturing, LLC. Your Honor,
10	we're here on two motions today. The first Motion is to
11	Compel the Payment of Administrative Expense, Pursuant to §
12	503(b)(1), or in the Alternative, Pursuant to § 503(b)(9).
13	I do note
14	THE COURT: And that's with respect to certain
15	purchase orders?
16	MS. BENCZE: Correct, Your Honor, certain
17	THE COURT: Prior to the motion.
18	MS. BENCZE: of the Craftsman Craftsman
19	Tools.
20	THE COURT: Right. That were delivered to Sears
21	in California on October 18th.
22	MS. BENCZE: Correct, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Pursuant to pre-petition
24	purchase orders.
25	MS. BENCZE: Right, correct. I mean, I think,

Your Honor, you know, not to sort of jump into it, but I could jump into it. There doesn't seem to be a dispute that the goods were ordered pre-petition. I don't know what (indiscernible). The case was filed October 15th, goods were delivered on October 18th, and I believe, then November 9th. And the Debtor took the goods, accepted the goods, and as far as we know, what we understand, they sold the goods, and have profited from the sale of those goods. And as a consequence, owes us \$377,132.16. We have, in our motion here, relied upon an analysis of the First Day Order that was signed, dealing with a shipment of these pre-petition goods, as well as an analysis of § 503(b)(1). The Order, however, Your Honor, is extremely critical (indiscernible). THE COURT: I -- I tried to -- I actually don't -in the binder I have, I don't have a copy of that Order. Does -- do either of you have one that I could --MS. BENCZE: I do. I have some hieroglyphics on it, though, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well --MS. BENCZE: I don't have a clean copy. THE COURT: Do you have an extra copy, on the I mean, I don't think the motion itself Debtors' behalf? refers to the Order. I think in the reply you refer to it. MS. BENCZE: Yes, I did. MR. FAIL: May I approach, Your Honor?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 39 1 Yeah. THE COURT: Sure. Thanks. Okay. 2 MS. BENCZE: Well, actually, I think, in our 3 motion, original motion due would refer to the Order, but 4 because the Debtor, in its opposition to us, sort of said, 5 we were kind of acting like we were entitled. 6 THE COURT: Well --7 MS. BENCZE: And I don't want the Court to think 8 that we're trying to jump ahead of anybody. 9 THE COURT: No, I'm just -- I really am just 10 trying to figure out which -- what paragraph should I be 11 focusing on. 12 MS. BENCZE: It's Paragraph 8, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Paragraph 8? Okay. 14 MS. BENCZE: Eight. 15 THE COURT: Okay. So, that says: "All undisputed 16 obligations of the Debtors arising from the post-petition 17 delivery or shipment" by -- "of goods under the pre-petition 18 orders are granted administrative expense priority status, pursuant to § 503(b)(1)(a)," so, "post-petition delivery or 19 20 shipment," and so here, they're delivered post-petition. 21 So, that --22 MS. BENCZE: Correct, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: When I say, why is that disputed? I 24 don't know. 25 MS. BENCZE: No, I don't think there is a dispute.

Page 40 1 THE COURT: No, I'm looking over at the Debtors' 2 counsel. 3 MS. BENCZE: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. FAIL: Yeah. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 MR. FAIL: So, for the record, Garrett Fail, Weil, 7 Gotshal & Manges for the Debtors. We did dispute. We 8 dispute a number of things, but what we said in our 9 pleadings was, we weren't going to dig in. 10 THE COURT: At this point. 11 MR. FAIL: The seven P.O.s -- at this point, to 12 figure out, if and when those seven P.O.s were delivered to 13 ports abroad, if and when they shipped, if and when they 14 were received, if and when they were sold. 15 THE COURT: All right. 16 MR. FAIL: Completely didn't engage in this 17 exercise, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: So, you're relying more on the second clause in this paragraph, it's after saying that they're 19 20 granted administrative expense priority status, which says, quote: "And the Debtors are authorized, but not directed, to 21 22 pay such obligations in the ordinary course, consistent with 23 the party's business practices." 24 MR. FAIL: Your Honor, Your Honor, on that point, 25 we also dispute that there could be reliance upon someone to

Page 41 1 ship and drop off goods to a consolidator abroad as if they 2 could have --3 THE COURT: No, that's, in fact, their § 503(b)(9) 4 point, I think. 5 MR. FAIL: Well, also, that there's a reliance on 6 this, that's my only point. 7 THE COURT: Okay. MR. FAIL: First of all, there's no evidence, but 8 9 second of all, that someone could deliver --10 THE COURT: Anyway, but those disputes, you 11 haven't gotten to the facts on that. 12 MR. FAIL: No, but that anybody could necessarily 13 rely on that order, after they've delivered goods, is 14 something that we would contest. That was --15 THE COURT: I'm sorry, you're saying that they 16 delivered the goods after the order was entered, not but --17 but these here, they delivered before the order was entered. 18 MR. FAIL: That's my point, Your Honor. THE COURT: Arguably. If they did -- if the facts 19 20 were that they delivered the goods before the order was 21 entered, how could you rely on the order? 22 MR. FAIL: Thank you, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 24 MS. BENCZE: Right. Your Honor, this is the I think that they defined the pre-petition orders, 25

Page 42 1 which are mentioned in your Order, it's defined in their 2 Motion that was seeking this Order from the Court. And in 3 that Motion, they define the pre-petition orders as \$162 4 million dollars' worth of merchandise from suppliers --5 THE COURT: Right. 6 MS. BENCZE: -- or vendors that will not be 7 delivered until after the commencement. So, to me --8 THE COURT: It's really a -- ultimately, that's a 9 fact issue, is whether people relied on that. I don't even know if your clients read it, that motion. So, I think the 10 11 real point is, should --12 MS. BENCZE: But that's -- sorry. THE COURT: -- should I focus on those issues or 13 14 should I wait for a point when administrative expenses are 15 going to be paid, generally, in the case? 16 MS. BENCZE: Well, this is our problem, Your 17 I mean, I have a client --Honor. 18 THE COURT: It's a timing issue, in other words. MS. BENCZE: Yeah, it's a timing issue. 19 20 THE COURT: Yeah. 21 MS. BENCZE: And you know, nothing new in 22 Bankruptcy Court, people are in need of money. The whole 23 purpose of the § 503(b)(1) is to get paid for administrative 24 expenses, and we believe we even fit into that, if you were 25 to just analyze the statute on its own. So, there are other

Page 43 1 administrative creditors who are getting paid, and perhaps 2 to our detriment, if, in fact, the estate becomes 3 administratively insolvent. 4 THE COURT: Right. 5 MS. BENCZE: So, it's the -- as Your Honor 6 correctly pointed out, it's the timing of this, and 7 thankfully, they have filed a motion for § 503(b)(9). 8 THE COURT: Right. 9 MS. BENCZE: Right? They did last night, I 10 haven't looked at it, but it's sort of what we were hoping 11 would happen, and so --12 THE COURT: They've also -- they've also --13 MS. BENCZE: -- if not now -- if not now, when? THE COURT: They've also committed to turn, right 14 15 away, maybe this afternoon Mr. (indiscernible) will let her 16 know, but right away, to negotiate a plan and exclusivity 17 that has been extended for only a very short period. We're 18 really talking, I think, about 60 days. You can't confirm a plan without paying all the administrative expenses in full. 19 20 We also have -- I've been told that the ESL deal closed. 21 The, ESL agreed to assume a certain dollar amount of 22 payables. So, it does appear to me that -- well, I mean, I 23 have a question about that, which is, what is the timing on 24 that -- on actually identifying those payables and getting 25 them paid, but it seems to me that, even without that,

Page 44 1 there's a reasonable possibility, or probability, that a 2 plan would be confirmed, or we'll know that one can't be 3 confirmed, in which case, administrative expenses will be paid pro rata within a couple of months. Is that -- you 4 5 I -- I understand that a number of people who are 6 vendors to Sears are hurting, but can they wait a couple of 7 months? MS. BENCZE: Well, you know, I have -- before 8 9 today, I was contacted by a few vendors similarly situated. 10 THE COURT: Right, right. 11 MS. BENCZE: There are vendors, I guess, that 12 consider themselves -- they had goods on the water, so to 13 speak, on their way from China that were about to be 14 delivered, and so, you know, they do look to this Order. 15 THE COURT: Well, but the Order is very clear that 16 the timing of the payment is open. I mean, that clause I 17 read, that, you know, it doesn't say it shall be paid 18 immediately. 19 MS. BENCZE: Right. 20 THE COURT: The Debtors are authorized, but not 21 directed, to pay in the ordinary course. 22 MS. BENCZE: Right. Well, we wanted to bring that 23 to your attention. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 MS. BENCZE: The second part of that motion had to

Page 45 1 do with § 503(b)(9) but apparently, there's now a Motion 2 pending, but --THE COURT: Well, the (b)(9) point, I have not 3 ruled on this issue. I don't know whether anyone in the 4 5 Southern District has ruled on this issue. I know there's 6 law in the Third Circuit that really distinguishes between 7 physical delivery to the Debtor and delivery on board a ship 8 or to an agent. That does kind of leave an odd glitch, 9 although maybe this Order is -- the whole point of this 10 Order was to assure people that that glitch wouldn't apply, 11 because you have § 503(b)(1). But, it's all -- I don't --12 to me, it's going to be one or the other. 13 MS. BENCZE: So, you see resolution when that's to 14 be resolved? 15 THE COURT: Yeah. I mean -- yeah, Mr. Fail, as 16 I'm sure will tell me, we haven't looked at the facts here. 17 We don't know if, in fact, these deliveries occurred the way 18 the -- your motion says they did, and we reserved our rights on that, and that's fair. But if, in fact, they were 19 20 delivered post-petition, it might not -- it wouldn't fall under § 503(b)(9), but it -- I don't see why it wouldn't 21 22 fall under (b)(1). 23 MS. BENCZE: Okay, so --24 THE COURT: Depending on whether they delivered, 25 actually, to Sears.

Page 46 1 MS. BENCZE: My understanding is they delivered to 2 their warehouse. 3 THE COURT: Right. 4 MS. BENCZE: That's my understanding. 5 THE COURT: Okay. So, shall we deal with the 503 6 motion first, and then the separate assumption motion, 7 compel assumption or rejection motion, so let me hear from 8 Mr. Fail on the --9 MS. BENCZE: Sure. 10 THE COURT: -- 503 motion. 11 MS. BENCZE: Okay. I'm sorry. 12 MR. FAIL: As long as both microphones can hear 13 me, Your Honor, I'm happy to stand here. 14 MS. BENCZE: Thank you. 15 MR. FAIL: Again, for the record, Garrett Fail, 16 Weil, Gotshal for the Debtors. Your Honor, I made clear 17 before, we haven't investigated the facts because our 18 response is that this shouldn't go forward now. 19 THE COURT: In terms of payment? 20 MR. FAIL: Yeah. Milton's suggestion that the 21 harm that others go first, it should be remedied by them 22 going first, is not the approach that the Debtors have taken. The Debtors are saying, there's a bar date that's 23 24 being requested. All parties can file their claims. Claims 25 that are filed will be allowed unless objected to.

Page 47 1 the simplest path. Creditors shouldn't be encouraged to run 2 to the Court to seek the allowance ahead of that, or to jump 3 the line, or to set the schedule --4 THE COURT: Which actually creates more misery and 5 expenses. 6 MR. FAIL: Incredible amount, Your Honor, for a 7 \$300,000 Milton expected needs to be reconciled, prior to a 8 \$5 billion-dollar sale closing; we are paying attention to 9 small and large vendors and small and large things in these 10 cases, but the Debtors need the flexibility to administer 11 the cases in the most efficient way for all creditors. 12 THE COURT: Can you remind me --13 MR. FAIL: Yeah. THE COURT: -- the ESL sale, the buyer assumed 14 15 \$166, I think, million of payables. Is that -- if this is, 16 in fact, a post-petition payable, is that the bucket it 17 would fall under? Is there some other bucket it might fall 18 under? MR. FAIL: Whether or not this is a post-petition 19 20 payable, Your Honor, the Debtors will investigate all 21 claims, whether they're pre-petition --22 THE COURT: Well, I'm asking you the question. MR. FAIL: -- no, I know, I know, I just want to 23 state for the record. Additional facts that haven't been 24 25 stated here, but I think that we put in our reply, but if

Page 48 1 not, I'm happy to add right now, title passes, in general, 2 for all foreign vendors to the Debtors, when they deliver 3 them to a consolidator or the port in foreign places, such 4 as Hong Kong, Bangladesh, and India. 5 THE COURT: Right. 6 MR. FAIL: At that point in time, the Debtors may 7 take the position that a claim arose. That would put it, perhaps, outside of the § 503(b)(9), and the delivery 8 9 overseas, over water, and received by the Debtors may or may 10 not, we think not, incur an administrative expense, but 11 we'll brief that at a later date. 12 THE COURT: All right. 13 MR. FAIL: The point of the Debtor that's applied 14 here --15 THE COURT: And then the question is -- there's 16 the Order, too. 17 MR. FAIL: The Order, Your Honor, was -- we'll 18 deal with that interpretation, again --19 THE COURT: Okay, anyway --20 MR. FAIL: -- I'll reserve all rights. I'll --21 THE COURT: -- you're reserving your rights. I'm not -- I'm not trying to box you in to say, this falls 22 23 within, but I'm just saying, if it's --24 MR. FAIL: I'll respond. 25 THE COURT: -- if it's an administrative expense,

if it's a post-petition payable, is that the bucket that would be applied in the ESL deal, or some other buckets too?

MR. FAIL: The Debtors are going to be working with ESL on a number of different fronts, as we get into the post-closing phase --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. FAIL: -- including the thousands of contracts that are going to be determined to be assumed or assigned, and I know that's the next motion, but they're very plain, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Certain -- They could be, because you'd have to cure the default.

MR. FAIL: There's 60 days to figure out whether contracts are going to be assumed or assigned, and then there's the purchase orders that you heard about at the trial, Your Honor, and whether or not certain post-petition liabilities are assumed. It depends on whether these are post-petition liabilities, and it depends on which ones are going to get assumed. But, we're going to be working with ESL, but the point is, it hasn't been determined yet. I can't say for sure right now, and that's why this Motion is premature. Claims may be satisfied. All we're saying, Your Honor, is, we waited for the bar, then send the reply. We waited to file a bar date so we didn't trigger flooding of claims for protection agreements with other claims for

Page 50 1 contracts that were assumed, so that there wouldn't be 2 needless administrative expenses, the parties filing claims 3 having to reconcile for things that there are no claims. 4 The Debtors need time to figure out which liabilities pre, 5 priority or post, are going to be paid for, either by the 6 reorganized, or the going forward concern New Company, or by 7 the Debtors, in connection with the sale. It's possible that even cure amounts -- post-petition cures, will be paid 8 9 through our -- by the Debtors. We need to let that play 10 out, and I certainly can't make any representation for \$370-11 something thousand dollars of seven invoices for Milton 12 today. 13 THE COURT: So, there's 60 days to assume 14 contracts --15 MR. FAIL: Under the contracts. 16 THE COURT: -- if this -- under the ESL contract, 17 if the purchase orders that we're going to talk about next, are in fact, executory, ESL would, I'm assuming, unless they 18 find some other supplier, they're going to want to assume 19 20 that. 21 MR. FAIL: I can't make any representation on 22 that. 23 THE COURT: But anyway, in 60 days, you'll know 24 one way or the other.

MS. BENCZE: Your Honor --

Pg 51 of 95 Page 51 1 MR. FAIL: We'll know one way or another. 2 THE COURT: And on the non-executory contracts, 3 and just assume for a moment that these purchase orders have already been performed, except for the payment of money, so 4 5 that'll be non-executory even on that basis, whether or not 6 they're executory as purchase orders, ever. You're saying -7 8 MS. BENCZE: Your Honor --9 THE COURT: -- let me -- I'm just trying to make 10 sure I understand --11 MS. BENCZE: Oh, I'm sorry. 12 THE COURT: -- what Mr. Fail is leading up to. 13 You're saying that there would be a process whereby people 14 would submit their claims and the Debtors will go through 15 those, in some instances with ESL, which may have other 16 dealings with these vendors, going forward, and come up with 17 a -- with, in essence, a payment plan? MR. FAIL: I'm saying, Your Honor, we'll certainly 18 19 have more clarity in this next 60 days. The sale closed 20 Monday afternoon. It's Thursday right now, maybe? The parties have been working on it, on a number of issues, and 21

22

23

24

Page 52 1 being paid outside of the assumption of liabilities of the 2 ESL transaction. They're -- it's -- Milton isn't being 3 prejudiced. These aren't perishable goods that we're talking about. There's absolutely no cause --4 5 THE COURT: The only prejudice is that they're not 6 getting paid. But --7 MR. FAIL: Amongst other people, and it's not 8 obvious that they're entitled to it. 9 THE COURT: -- the Code doesn't -- the Code 10 doesn't require payment of administrative expenses until 11 confirmation. The Debtor has discretion to pay them, and 12 the Debtor needs to be careful not to prefer some over 13 others, but even there, they have some discretion. So, it 14 seems to me that I should adjourn this motion. 15 MS. BENCZE: I just have one question that I was 16 going to ask. I believe there has been a list filed of the 17 contracts, potentially, to be assumed with a cure? 18 THE COURT: That's a -- but that's not a final 19 list. 20 MS. BENCZE: I know it's not -- I know it's not a 21 final list, but I just wanted to alert -- we're not on it. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MS. BENCZE: So, to us, this function was not 24 premature. THE COURT: Well, but they have 60 days. 25

Page 53 1 MS. BENCZE: Fine. 2 THE COURT: So, I -- you know. I think your 3 client should ask themselves, are there other people that could buy these Craftsman tools? 4 MS. BENCZE: Well, I mean, our relief is -- part 5 6 of our relief on all these motions was to be able to sell it 7 to -- if they don't want to assume the contract, was to sell 8 it to Stanley, or an authorized dealer. 9 THE COURT: Right, but they are -- they are, you 10 know, they're Craftsman tools. 11 MS. BENCZE: Yeah. 12 THE COURT: A couple months is not going to --13 they're not going to, you know --14 MS. BENCZE: I think we're paying -- on the 15 executory contracts, we're paying for storage, so that's 16 part of it. 17 THE COURT: Right, but I'm just focusing on the 18 503 motion at this point. 19 MS. BENCZE: Yes. 20 THE COURT: It's not like cheese. 21 MS. BENCZE: Not going to go bad? 22 THE COURT: They're screwdrivers and things like 23 that. 24 MS. BENCZE: Some cheese tastes good when it goes 25 bad.

	Py 54 01 95
	Page 54
1	THE COURT: Well, it depends on the cheese.
2	MS. BENCZE: Yeah.
3	THE COURT: So, can we turn to the 365 motion,
4	now?
5	MS. BENCZE: Okay, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: It's a Motion to Compel Assumption or
7	Rejection.
8	MS. BENCZE: Yes, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: I guess, one issue I had there is, I'm
10	not sure it's a two-edged sword for both of both
11	parties here. The non-Debtor party to a non-executory
12	contract is supposed to perform. I'm not sure your client's
13	performing. On the other hand, the Debtors may still want
14	to say that it's either executory or non-executory. They
15	want to, I think, preserve their flexibility on that.
16	MS. BENCZE: Well, they haven't said it's non-
17	executory.
18	THE COURT: Right.
19	MS. BENCZE: They reserved their rights.
20	THE COURT: They reserved their rights, and so, I
21	guess the issue I have they're not are they demanding
22	that they perform, though? Are they demanding that these
23	tools be delivered?
24	MS. BENCZE: No.
25	MR. FAIL: I don't think so, Your Honor.

Pq 55 of 95 Page 55 1 THE COURT: All right, so, I think -- I don't want 2 to put words in your mouth, but tell me if I'm wrong. 3 seems to me that --MR. FAIL: And Your Honor, I think specifically 4 5 I think that's the issue. I think specifically, if we 6 had asked for them to deliver them --7 THE COURT: Yeah. -- then we would be obligated to pay 8 MR. FAIL: 9 for them, and I think specifically, we're not --10 THE COURT: We're not doing --11 MR. FAIL: -- which is why they're holding them, 12 so that they don't have to be paid for. 13 THE COURT: I mean, the criteria for compelling assumption or rejection, to my mind, it's a hard -- it's a 14 15 pretty hard burden for the movant to compel assumption or 16 rejection, and the most appealing case for the movant is 17 where the Debtor is saying to the non-Debtor contract party, 18 perform, perform, perform, you have to, 365 requires it, and by the way, we're not paying you, or there's a real risk 19 20 we're not going to pay you. Even there, the Second Circuit

in Burger Boys said, that's not a per se reason to compel

assumption. But, it's a pretty appealing reason, under the

facts, and the Court has a fair amount of discretion. But,

I didn't get the impression here that that -- and then Mr.

Fail just confirmed it, that that's what the Debtor is

21

22

23

24

saying. You know, you are incurring some storage costs, I appreciate that, but they're not taking, at this point, the tools.

MS. BENCZE: Well, that's why I'm saying, reject.

That's what --

THE COURT: Well, but -- but they -- may -- the buyer may well assume them. And, you may want them to be assumed. If -- you know, because then they'll pay. And, the reason the burden is heavy to compel assumption or rejection is that, that's an option that debtors have. I mean, that -- you know, the Bankruptcy Code gives debtors that flexibility, to decide -- and here again, we have a deadline. I mean, it's 60 days, and, hopefully, they'll let you know before then, and I'm assuming, for their larger vendors at least, they'll be very quickly trying to -- and this is what I've told -- this was my last parting word to Mr. Lampert's representative who was in the courtroom, which is, reach out right away to the vendors and -- as well as to the employees and you know, establish those -- get those relations on good terms.

So, I'm assuming that that will happen, if your client is an important vendor, and that -- you know,

Craftsman tools are certainly important to Sears, so I would think that they'd be reaching out to them before the 60 days. But it's -- we know there's a 60-day limit. So, to

me, that does not seem to be unduly burdensome under the case law. You know, Judge Lifland dealt with this at some length in the Dana Corp case, 350 B.R. 144 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2006). It's a balancing test, but unless the -- to my mind, unless the non-debtor contract party is really being put to the wall by being forced to perform without any real assurance that they're going to get paid, and then, the -in almost every case, they're going to lose. And even there, you know, in, in re Burger Boys 94 F.3d 755 (2d. Cir. 1996), even under that scenario, the Second Circuit said, you know, that's not a per se reason to compel assumption or rejection. There may be a good reason to delay assumption or rejection, even if the Debtor isn't paying. But here, they're not requiring performance, so, I'm going to -- I think both of these motions should be adjourned.

MS. BENCZE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We can see where we are at the next omnibus. I'm expecting -- as well as there being a report on the plan progress, we can have a report on the reconciliation process on the administrative expenses, and we'll see where we are then. Hopefully, they'll give that to you before the hearing, and you'll say, let's adjourn this another 30 days.

MS. BENCZE: Okay, Your Honor.

MR. FAIL: Your Honor --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	Page 58
1	MS. BENCZE: Is the next omnibus this month?
2	MR. FAIL: No, the next omnibus is
3	MS. BENCZE: March?
4	MR. FAIL: is March 21st, I believe. But I
5	think the bar date order and the § 503(b)(9) procedures
6	order, we've requested that any requests that are filed be
7	adjourned until April, in the very least. So we would
8	expect that this
9	THE COURT: Well, we'll see where we are. I'm
10	assuming that there will have been some outreach by ESL
11	before then, at least, to some of these to the vendors.
12	MR. FAIL: I hope that's the case.
13	THE COURT: I hope so, too.
14	MS. BENCZE: Me too, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MS. BENCZE: All right, thank you very much.
17	THE COURT: Okay, thank you.
18	MR. FAIL: Thank you. Your Honor, the next item
19	on the agenda is another third-party motion, but No. 5 on
20	the agenda. It's the Motion for Relief from Automatic Stay
21	filed by Mr. Ng, and I see Mr. Ng is in the courtroom today.
22	THE COURT: Right.
23	MR. NG: Good morning, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Good morning, and you're Mr. Ng?
25	MR. NG: Yes, Your Honor.

Page 59 1 THE COURT: And you're representing yourself? 2 MR. NG: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 MR. NG: Briefly, the last time we were in here, I was here, you indicated that if I identified insurance that 5 6 the defendants -- that the Debtors did not identify, then 7 most likely, it would mean that they would have perhaps okay 8 with the stipulation. 9 THE COURT: Well -- yeah. 10 MR. NG: I have not received a stipulation. 11 received a letter asking me to withdraw, and then --12 THE COURT: Well, in the Debtors' response to your 13 Motion, they say that they have insurance for these types of 14 claims, but there is a \$5 million-dollar limit on it, and 15 they've already -- that's already been exceeded. So -- and 16 secondly, that there's no duty to defend provision in that 17 insurance that would require the insurer to take over the 18 defense of your litigation. So, while there was insurance, at some point, and there is a policy that might cover your 19 20 claim, it's, they say, as a factual matter, it's not 21 available at this point, and there's no insurance for the 22 defense costs, so they would have to be paying the lawyers 23 for litigation of this pre-petition claim. 24 MR. NG: Well, that's not what I received in the 25 email last week.

1 THE COURT: Well, okay, but do you have anything 2 to show that there actually is live insurance that would 3 cover them? MR. NG: Well, yes. They indicated to me that 4 there was a \$5 million-dollar insurance, and that -- with a 5 6 \$5 million-dollar deductible. My understanding, from 7 information that I gathered that, they -- is the Debtor will 8 not be forced to pay a \$5 million-dollar deductible because 9 the insurance is supposed to pay first. 10 THE COURT: No, but -- that's fair, but they say 11 that the general liability policy that covers incidents, you 12 know, between August 1, 2016 and August 1, 2017, has a \$5-13 million aggregate limit, and that paid lawsuits have already exceeded that limit. So, the fact that there is a \$5-14 15 million deductible is another fact, but their key point is 16 that, there's -- they've exceeded the limits of the policy, 17 at this point. MR. NG: That's not the insurance that I'm looking 18 at here, where I'm -- they -- the policy is that the general 19 20 liability that I'm looking at, dated August 1st, 2018 to 21 January 1st, 2019. 22 THE COURT: But when did your accident happen? MR. NG: The accident that I'm making claim for --23 24 THE COURT: Yeah. 25 MR. NG: -- is -- it happened August 2018, August

Page 61 1 2018 and in November 2018. November 2018. That's what --2 that's what I'm looking at. THE COURT: When did -- when did -- what was the 3 accident? 4 5 MR. NG: Okay, the first issue came up with 6 respect to the month that lead me to see Dr. Ed to get the 7 medical treatment now, I have been on these medications. 8 THE COURT: Right, but when did that happen? 9 MR. NG: Just August -- November 2018. 10 THE COURT: No, but --11 MR. NG: And it's in my --12 THE COURT: But your complaint is a pre-bankruptcy 13 complaint. 14 MR. NG: Well, no, no, no, Your Honor, I had two 15 separate matters before the Bankruptcy Court. One of which, 16 an action I already filed, and one is to filed November --17 which I had --18 THE COURT: No, but that's -- let me just -- I --MR. NG: They have been fully amended from --19 20 THE COURT: I have a copy of the complaint, here -21 22 MR. NG: Yes, and I'm --THE COURT: -- which is Exhibit -- well, it's a 23 24 Summons of Complaint, Supreme Court, State of New York, it's 25 dated March 28, 2018.

Page 62 1 MR. NG: Yes. 2 THE COURT: And it says, this is an action for 3 leave to settle ongoing disputes concerning --MR. NG: Yes. 4 THE COURT: -- neglect, (indiscernible) and 5 6 refusal by Defendant (indiscernible) claims (indiscernible) 7 services to investigate a latent injury claim, which was 8 filed with them as a result of an exposure to toxic 9 substances in July 2010 --10 MR. NG: Yeah. 11 THE COURT: -- and then -- and a neglected 12 (indiscernible) by Defendant Kmart to safeguard claimant's 13 medical records, and then if you go down, it says: "Kmart's 14 data systems was breached several times between January 2013 15 and May 2017." 16 MR. NG: Right. 17 THE COURT: So, that seems to me to be the period 18 where this injury happened, as far as the Debtor was 19 concerned, is the medical records breach. 20 MR. NG: No, Your Honor, there is a legal matter 21 that I had for --22 THE COURT: Well, I'm just dealing now with the 23 existing complaint, which is -- you are also looking to relief -- for relief on the stay to go pursue that action, 24 25 still, in New York State Supreme Court, right? The pending

Page 63 1 complaint? 2 MR. NG: Well, this is where we are --THE COURT: That was removed? 3 MR. NG: -- no -- that is part of what we were 4 5 trying to negotiate the settlement of the ADR. But, during 6 the period of time we came before the Supreme Court, and the 7 judge had asked for -- whether there was records that was 8 delivered to me, and they did not provide the records that 9 was under the judge's order. 10 THE COURT: Well -- I'm sorry. 11 MR. NG: And then how that --12 THE COURT: But I want to break this down, okay? 13 Because you made a Motion for two -- to lift the stay for 14 two things. The first one was, to lift the stay to continue 15 to pursue the causative actions that are laid out in this 16 complaint that I just quoted from. 17 MR. NG: Yes. 18 THE COURT: The second one was to bring a new 19 action --20 MR. NG: Yes. 21 THE COURT: -- it wasn't that clear to me what 22 that was for. We can talk about it in a moment. 23 MR. NG: Yes, yes. 24 THE COURT: But, as far as the first part of the 25 relief you're seeking --

Page 64 1 MR. NG: Yes, Your Honor. Yes, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: -- it appears to me that, there is no 3 insurance --4 MR. NG: Yes. 5 THE COURT: -- available for that claim. 6 MR. NG: That's what I understood from them. 7 THE COURT: Okay, so that's -- so, I'm going to 8 deny the Motion as far as that claim is concerned. 9 MR. NG: Okay, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: If there's -- under the relevant case 11 law, which goes back to the Sonnax case. This isn't insured, as a practical matter. The claims that are laid 12 13 out in the Amended Verified Complaint in the New York State 14 Supreme Court action, because the insurance has been used up 15 for that -- for that period that Kmart is alleged to have 16 done the breach of the data, which was January 2013 through 17 May 2017, which is what the complaint says. 18 MR. NG: Okay. THE COURT: So, I'm denying that portion of the 19 20 Motion. 21 MR. NG: Yes, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: So, then, that -- the second portion 23 of the Motion seeks to bring a new claim? 24 MR. NG: Yes, Your Honor. That claim, dated 25 November 13th, and that is after I suffered the injury, and

Page 65 1 have to see doctor. 2 THE COURT: Right, but is that for the same exposure? What is the claim against Kmart that dates from 3 the post-bankruptcy period? 4 5 MR. NG: Okay, it all began in 2010 when I want 6 there and I got treatments. 7 THE COURT: Right, no, I understand all that, but 8 what new thing has Kmart, you allege, done, after the start 9 of this bankruptcy case in October '13? 10 MR. NG: Yeah, they have lied to the Supreme Court 11 that they have given me records, medical records, when they 12 did not. 13 THE COURT: But is that -- is that -- you made a 14 request after the start of the bankruptcy case? 15 MR. NG: No, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Well --17 MR. NG: It was before the bankruptcy that the 18 judge -- the hearing was October -- September 2018, and the 19 judge went -- sent an Order for -- give me a moment, Your 20 Honor. Okay, so the judge said -- the judge issued a 21 subpoena and they did not provide me with all the records 22 like, that I need. 23 THE COURT: The request -- so, you requested 24 records of them --25 MR. NG: Yeah, the judge --

Page 66 1 THE COURT: -- when -- I'm sorry, when did you 2 make that request? 3 MR. NG: The judge signed the Order June 29, 2018. THE COURT: Right. 4 MR. NG: They were served, and we all just 5 6 appeared before the judge --7 THE COURT: Right, but then the bankruptcy 8 happened, so they probably didn't -- then everything 9 stopped, is my guess. 10 MR. NG: Everything stopped, but the judge still 11 appear -- during that time, after heads --12 THE COURT: So, it's a -- basically, to provide 13 records now? 14 MR. NG: To provide records. 15 THE COURT: Okay, so I can I interrupt you? 16 that's the case, can they provide him the records? 17 MR. NG: They did not. 18 THE COURT: No, I'm asking the Debtors' lawyer. I don't necessarily view this as a litigation. I just think 19 20 he's entitled to his records, right? MR. FAIL: In the -- I have the attached copies, 21 22 Your Honor, of the records that he received, and I think he's saying they were falsified. I don't think there's -- I 23 24 don't think anybody's denying him. I think they don't 25 understand what he's asking for.

Page 67 1 THE COURT: You think -- you think they're 2 incorrect, or is it you think they're falsified? MR. FAIL: I thought he's attached all his pleas 3 to his records. 4 5 MR. NG: The judge find this -- made an order and 6 had found that this is something that is subject for trial, 7 a trial. Trial of fact. 8 THE COURT: Well, but -- I guess --9 MR. NG: Here's the trial --10 THE COURT: I'm trying to -- I'm trying to 11 formulate what I want to say. Whether you have a new claim 12 or not, for 2018, depends on when that claim arose, and if you're saying that the Debtors have an obligation to give 13 14 you records in 2018, and they didn't do it, then that might 15 be a 2018 claim. On the other hand, if you're saying the 16 Debtors have an obligation to give me records, and they gave 17 me the records, but the records are false --18 MR. NG: Utterly. THE COURT: -- and the alterations happened in the 19 20 past, not in 2018, then you don't have a 2018 claim. 21 MR. NG: It happened in 2018. 22 THE COURT: Well, I'm sorry, you're saying that they were falsified in 2018 --23 24 MR. NG: Yes, in 2018. 25 THE COURT: -- as opposed to in the past?

1 MR. NG: Yes, within November 6, and November 6, 2 2018, (indiscernible).

THE COURT: Well, we have a -- we have a -there's an administrative claims bar date, okay, that's
going to be set. This would be a post-petition claim,
because you're saying it's November, right?

MR. NG: It's November and August, and --

anyway, there's going to be a bar date. I'd rather not do this orderly. I think you need to comply with the bar date, file your claim, and then they'll have a better idea of what it's about, and it'd probably be -- I don't know how we liquidate it. I can't tell you right now, sir, but it doesn't sound like -- it's sounds like it may -- depending on what the claim actually says in writing, I can figure out how to deal with it.

MR. NG: Well, I suffered emotional distress, so I want --

THE COURT: No, I don't mean the consequences of the claim. I'm saying, where the claim -- how the claim arose, what the claim is based on, and I don't really know enough about that, at this point, because I don't have anything that really lays it out. The -- a bar date order what will say, you have to file proofs of claim by X date, you know, I don't know what it'll be, end of March,

Page 69 1 beginning of April, some date. File your claim, lay out 2 what you think the claim is and when it arose and what it's 3 for, and then we can decide what to do with this. I don't 4 really -- I have your complaint for the State Court action. 5 I know what that's about, but I don't really have anything 6 that lays out this claim. 7 MR. NG: Well, the claim that I'm referring to 8 right now, that --9 THE COURT: No, I -- I -- look, I do much better 10 with things in writing. 11 MR. NG: Yes. 12 THE COURT: You need to lay it out in writing, 13 because it -- I couldn't figure it out, okay? 14 MR. NG: Okay, Your Honor. So --15 THE COURT: So, I'm going to adjourn this until 16 after the bar date, the hearing on this motion, I'm going to 17 adjourn it until after the bar date. The bar date will be 18 set very soon. It'll probably be a hearing in April, okay? 19 MR. NG: Okay, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 MR. NG: I'm suffering a lot of damages and 22 medical bills and everything, and that's why I'm trying to 23 seek -- get justice. 24 THE COURT: And if it was a question of the 25 records being released, I would direct the Debtors to

Page 70 release the records, but it sounds like they were released to you. The dispute is to whether they were altered at some point. MR. NG: They were altered. THE COURT: Well, I -- but that doesn't -- see, that's a different type of claim than just giving you records so you can go to a doctor and deal with them, so I'm going to adjourn this until the first omnibus hearing after the bar date is set. MR. NG: One other thing I would like to mention, Your Honor, is that I did make reference to the Unclean Hand Doctrine, that I had laid out in my papers, and they make no -- they did not address these. THE COURT: Well, that's really a defense. They're not asserting a claim against you. MR. NG: Well, they're seeking to have the matter denied, and --THE COURT: No, but the Unclean Hands is a defense, saying, you can't assert a claim against me, a defense that you would have, that Sears can't assert a claim against you because they have Unclean Hands, but they're not asserting a claim against you, so I can see why they didn't respond to that. MR. NG: Yeah, so, if I understand you correctly, I should wait until I get some sort of order --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Yeah, we'll serve you with notice of the bar date. If you want to still file a claim, file a proof of claim, and I'll have that in front of me when I -when we come back here on the remaining part of your Motion. I'm denying the first part, because there's really -- the record doesn't reflect any real insurance for that. The second part, we'll deal with it after the bar date, when I can see where the claim -- what the claim really is for. MR. NG: Okay, but I can go ahead and do the proof of claim --THE COURT: Yeah. I mean, if you don't give me the proof of claim, we're -- you know, that's the end. So, you need to comply with the bar date order and file a proof of claim describing the claim. MR. NG: Yeah, so, I should not do it before -until I get the bar --THE COURT: You can do it -- you can do it before. There's no reason that you have to wait until you get the order. MR. NG: Okay. Okay, thank you. Thank you very much. MR. FAIL: Thank you, Your Honor. Just to close out the record on this, again, Garrett Fail from Weil for the Debtors. Based on what we've heard so far, being alleged, it sounds like the entirety of Mr. Ng's claims

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 72 1 would be pre-petition, not what we --2 MR. NG: It might will be. 3 MR. FAIL: -- so, we encourage him to file a claim 4 by the pre-petition claims bar date as well, making whatever 5 allegations he may. I would also just point out for this 6 Court, and again, for Mr. Ng, that this -- his underlying 7 case that has been filed is no longer pending in New York 8 State --9 THE COURT: It's in Federal Court, now. It's been 10 moved. 11 MR. FAIL: Thank you, so, Mr. Ng has already 12 appeared post-bankruptcy, in the New York State Court, and 13 has sought another hearing, has indeed advised Mr. Ng that 14 the automatic stay remains in place. The Debtors will not 15 be participating in either New York State or Federal 16 District Court with regard to his pre-petition matter. 17 response, Mr. Ng has sent me and my firm threats for 18 defamation. We'll ignore that for now, but I just want to advise him again, we won't be participating in Court. 19 20 actions were stayed. 21 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So, Mr. Ng, if you 22 can just come up for a second. 23 MR. NG: Sure. 24 THE COURT: Two things. First, I referred to the 25 State Court complaint, but that action was removed to

Page 73 1 Federal District Court, so it's actually pending Federal 2 District Court at this time. That's the first point. not actually in the State Court, it's in the Federal 3 District Court. That action names other parties besides 4 5 Kmart. There are other parties who are Defendants in that 6 action. It is stayed by the automatic stay, as to Kmart. 7 So, you can't -- you can't invoke the Court against Kmart. 8 So, you can't get relief against Kmart from the District 9 Court, or if the District Court, for some reason, sends it 10 back to the State Court, from the State Court. If there are 11 third parties, that the stay doesn't apply to, you know, you 12 can go against them, but not against Kmart, okay? 13 MR. NG: Well -- just to add something that, perhaps, you are not aware of, the Supreme Court judge did 14 15 make an order, and in that request, any -- didn't make any 16 sort of move. I was advised by the Court to appear for the 17 hearing, the judge made an order, for all parties to appear, 18 March 13th, this coming March 13th. THE COURT: Well, that's not Sears, because the 19 20 stay applies to Sears. 21 MR. NG: Sorry? 22 THE COURT: That's not Kmart, because the stay 23 applies to Kmart. 24 MR. NG: So --25 THE COURT: It won't include Kmart.

	1 9 74 01 33
	Page 74
1	MR. NG: It wouldn't include Kmart?
2	THE COURT: No.
3	MR. NG: I don't after (indiscernible).
4	THE COURT: Have you given the State Court notice
5	of the bankruptcy?
6	MR. FAIL: We gave the State Court we gave Mr.
7	Ng. We're happy to arrange.
8	THE COURT: So, they understand this.
9	MR. FAIL: We'll take care of it, Your Honor.
10	We'll advise the State Court.
11	THE COURT: I I'd give lectures to the State
12	Court judges just up the road at the judicial college that
13	they have at Pace Law School. They understand the automatic
14	stay.
15	MR. NG: Yeah. The State Court told me they
16	didn't receive anything, so that's why that's why how
17	is State Court not aware?
18	THE COURT: Fine, I understand. That's the State
19	Court's issue. You cannot seek relief against Kmart.
20	MR. NG: I'm not seeking any relief of there, Your
21	Honor.
22	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
23	MR. NG: Thank you. Thank you.
24	THE COURT: Okay, thank you.
25	MR. FAIL: Thank you, Your Honor. That concludes

Page 75 today's agenda. THE COURT: Okay, thank you. MR. FAIL: Thank you. MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Your Honor. (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 11:29 AM)

Page 76 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing 4 transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 Digitally signed by Sonya Ledanski Sonya Hyde 6 DN: cn=Sonya Ledanski Hyde, o, ou, Ledanski Hyde email=digital1@veritext.com, c=US Date: 2019.03.19 15:01:31 -04'00' 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Veritext Legal Solutions 21 330 Old Country Road 22 Suite 300 23 Mineola, NY 11501 24 25 Date: February 18, 2019

[**& - 94**]

&	1557 4:8	21st 10:22 13:10	4th 15:12
& 5:3,13 6:1 10:5	15th 12:5 38:4	58:4	5
40:7	162 42:3	2312 2:7	5 47:8 58:19 59:14
0	166 47:15	242 33:18	60:5,6,8,12,14
08540 6:12	18 29:13,14 76:25	2470 3:22	503 11:14,16
	18-23538 1:3	2471 3:25	12:11 37:12,12
1	18th 37:21 38:5	2474 4:2	38:12 39:19 41:3
1 3:17 14:4,25	1991 17:23	248 1:13	42:23 43:7 45:1
37:12 38:12 39:19	1996 57:10	2481 3:12	45:11,21 46:5,10
42:23 45:11,22	1999 33:18	2497 2:17	48:8 51:25 53:18
60:12,12	1st 60:20,21	25 18:14,25 22:16	58:5
10 17:25 19:15	2	29:15 2544 2:10	541 24:10,22 26:5
21:7 22:12,24	2 3:17 22:16 24:10		30:7 32:14 35:14
24:10,21 26:4	26:5 32:14 33:17	2578 2:19 27 3:20	586 32:15,16
28:1 31:11,12,22	35:14	27 3:20 28 61:25	6
32:22,24 33:22	2000 33:16,17	281 34:11	6 68:1,1
35:13	2004 4:6 12:23	29 66:3	60 10:17,19 12:3
10014 7:19	13:14,19,21 14:9	29 00.3 29-33 34:1	43:18 49:13 50:13
10016 6:20	2006 57:4	2d 33:17 57:9	50:23 51:19 52:25
10018 7:12	2008 32:16		56:13,24,25
10036 5:16	201 7:18	3	620 7:11
1006 3:20 7:18	2010 62:9 65:5	3 33:25	7
10153 5:6	2013 62:14 64:16	30 57:23	
102 6:11	2015 33:24	300 1:13 76:22	749 24:3 25:23
105 4:6	2016 60:12	300,000 47:7	35:23
10601 1:14	2017 33:25,25	330 76:21	755 57:9
10:03 1:17	60:12 62:15 64:17	33rd 6:3	767 5:5
11 2:4 24:18	2018 3:20 13:13	350 57:3	8
1103 4:6	14:24 15:12 60:20	362 17:25 19:15	8 39:12,13
1121 2:6	60:25 61:1,1,1,9	21:7 22:12 24:10	82.30 34:11
11501 76:23	61:25 65:18 66:3	24:21 26:1,4 28:1	870 32:15
11556 7:4	67:12,14,15,20,20	28:11 31:22 32:22	8846 33:17
11:29 75:5	67:21,23,24 68:2	33:22 35:13	9
121 33:18	2019 1:16 2:2 14:4	363 31:11	
12th 13:13	14:25 15:12 60:21	365 23:22 24:6	9 11:14,16 37:12
13th 64:25 73:18	76:25	54:3 55:18	41:3 43:7 45:1,3
73:18	2029 6:3	370 50:10	45:21 48:8 51:25
14 1:16 2:2	20th 14:24 15:7	377,132.16. 38:9	58:5
144 57:3	15:12	3813 33:25	90067 6:4
1477 3:3	210 6:10	4	9016 4:7
1479 3:10	215,000 19:2	4 14:25	932 2:15,24
1547 3:14	29:12 30:13 36:23	400 7:3	94 57:9

[9th - assert] Page 2

9th 38:6	57:20 68:4	alternate 28:17	apply 18:2,3
	administratively	alternative 3:18	19:15 21:8 24:13
a	43:3	21:14 37:12	28:1 30:4 32:4
ability 23:16 24:3	adr 3:18 28:21	alves 7:25	35:14,15 45:10
able 53:6	63:5	amended 61:19	73:11
abroad 40:13 41:1	advise 72:19	64:13	appreciate 26:1
absence 2:13,21	74:10	amicable 14:14	27:12 31:7 56:2
absolutely 25:15	advised 72:13	amount 43:21	approach 10:16
31:6 52:4	73:16	47:6 55:23	16:21 38:25 46:22
accepted 38:6	affect 30:21	amounts 50:8	appropriate
accepting 36:9	affiliate 13:17	ana 8:15	29:19 35:25
accident 60:22,23	affirmed 26:9	analysis 30:21	approve 35:22
61:4	afternoon 43:15	38:10,12	approve 33.22 april 12:4 58:7
accomplishment	51:20	analyze 42:25	69:1,18
11:12	agenda 2:1 10:10	andrews 8:1	arbitrage 4:1
account 18:8	17:13,14 37:5	angeles 6:4	arbitration 28:19
accurate 76:4	58:19,20 75:1	answer 16:15	arbitration 28.19 aren't 25:10
act 31:15	agent 7:10 17:18	anybody 39:8	51:25 52:3
acting 39:5	45:8	41:12	arguably 35:10
action 3:17,17	aggregate 60:13	anybody's 66:24	41:19
11:21 19:17,18	agree 10:23	anyway 10:21	argue 31:4
61:16 62:2,24	agreed 10:20 11:5	29:1 33:20 41:10	argument 26:3
63:19 64:14 69:4	11:6 15:11 16:11	48:19 50:23 68:9	arguments 27:13
72:25 73:4,6	32:11 43:21	apart 35:7	33:13
actions 30:5 63:15	agreement 3:3	app 33:16	arising 39:16
72:20	13:22	apparently 45:1	arlene 7:25
actual 33:10	agreements 49:25	appeal 23:13	arose 48:7 67:12
add 15:23 17:3	ahead 39:8 47:2	appealing 55:16	68:21 69:2
48:1 73:13	71:9	55:22	arrange 19:17
additional 15:19	akin 5:13	appear 43:22	74:7
18:11 19:2 47:24	alert 52:21	66:11 73:16,17	arrearages 3:2
address 70:13	alive 35:18	appeared 66:6	arthur 5:10
adjourn 52:14	alix 8:3	72:12	articulated 33:5
57:22 69:15,17	allegations 72:5	appearing 7:23	artisanal 26:16
70:8	allege 65:8	appearing 7.23	27:21 33:24
adjourned 57:15	alleged 34:12	64:2	aside 13:7
58:7	64:15 71:25	applebaum 3:9	asked 19:19 55:6
administer 47:10	allow 3:16 28:5	application 13:7,8	63:7
administrative	allowance 47:2	26:4 33:21	asking 21:4,6,10
3:2 17:9 37:11	allowed 46:25	applied 36:18	47:22 59:11 66:18
39:18 40:20 42:14	alterations 67:19	48:13 49:2	66:25
42:23 43:1,19	altered 70:2,4	applies 33:21 35:6	assert 20:2 70:19
44:3 48:10,25	uncicu /0.2,T	35:13 73:20,23	70:20
50:2 51:24 52:10		33.13 13.20,23	70.20
		ral Solutions	

asserting 70:15,22	automatically	58:5 68:4,9,10,23	bills 69:22
assertion 30:12	19:23	69:16,17,17 70:9	binder 38:15
asserts 21:16	available 59:21	71:2,7,13,16 72:4	blame 27:17
assigned 49:8,14	64:5	barclays 15:5	board 45:7
associates 17:19	avenue 5:5 6:19	based 15:10 32:10	box 48:22 51:24
assume 3:3,6	7:11	68:21 71:24	51:25
19:23 21:15 23:18	award 28:16	basically 21:15	boys 55:21 57:9
24:5 43:21 50:13	aware 11:11	66:12	brad 9:11
50:19 51:3 53:7	73:14 74:17	basis 21:10 35:16	branded 3:7
56:7	b	51:5	brauner 5:19
assumed 22:23		began 65:5	breach 25:25,25
28:23 47:14 49:8	b 1:21 6:22 8:13	beginning 69:1	32:20 34:18,22
49:14,17,19 50:1	9:9 11:14,16	begun 3:19	62:19 64:16
52:17 56:8	17:25 19:15 21:7	behalf 2:24 3:9	breached 62:14
assuming 12:10	22:12,24 24:10,10	10:5 13:13,25	break 63:12
30:11 50:18 56:14	24:21 26:4,5 28:1	15:18 17:16 37:9	brief 11:9 14:12
56:21 58:10	31:11,12,22 32:14	38:22	35:4 48:11
assumption 35:22	32:22,24 33:22	believe 17:7 25:11	briefed 23:12
46:6,7 52:1 54:6	35:13,14 37:12,12	25:12 27:19 33:22	briefly 13:13
55:14,15,22 56:9	38:12 39:19 41:3	35:1 38:5 42:24	14:14 59:4
57:11,12	42:23 43:7 45:1,3	52:16 58:4	bring 12:8 44:22
assurance 57:7	45:11,21,22 48:8	bencze 6:14 37:8	63:18 64:23
assure 45:10	51:25 58:5	37:8,16,18,22,25	broad 16:2
attached 66:21	b.r. 32:15,16	38:17,20,24 39:2	brozman 8:3
67:3	33:18 57:3	39:7,12,14,22,25	bryant 5:15
attention 44:23	back 15:18 16:13	40:3 41:24 42:6	bucket 47:16,17
47:8	17:13 24:3 31:19	42:12,16,19,21	49:1
attorney 6:2,9,17	35:25 64:11 71:4	43:5,9,13 44:8,11	buckets 49:2
7:2	73:10	44:19,22,25 45:13	burden 55:15
attorneys 5:4,14	bad 53:21,25	45:23 46:1,4,9,11	56:9
7:9,17 27:16 37:6	balancing 57:4	46:14 50:25 51:8	burdensome
37:7	balluku 8:2	51:11 52:15,20,23	34:15 57:1
audience 10:7	bangladesh 48:4	53:1,5,11,14,19	burger 55:21 57:9
august 60:12,12	bankr 32:16	53:21,24 54:2,5,8	business 40:23
60:20,25,25 61:9	33:25,25 57:3	54:16,19,24 56:4	buy 53:4
68:7,8	bankruptcy 1:1	57:16,24 58:1,3	buyer 47:14 56:7
authorized 40:21	1:12,23 2:6 4:5,6	58:14,16	byran 8:25
44:20 53:8	18:1 31:13,21,22	better 12:19 68:11	
authorizing 4:7	32:6,14 42:22	69:9	c
automatic 2:13,22	56:11 61:12,15	big 11:12 31:1	c 5:1,18 8:20 10:1
18:1,3 21:8 32:4,8	65:4,9,14,17 66:7	bill 29:12	76:1,1
35:6 58:20 72:14	72:12 74:5	billion 47:8	ca 6:4
	bar 11:14 12:9	VIIIIUII 47.0	california 37:21
73:6 74:13	46:23 49:23,24		
		1014	

	I	I	I
candace 5:10	certainly 36:10	68:4 71:25 72:4	29:6,15,17,21,25
can't 16:11 22:23	50:10 51:18 56:23	clarification	31:3,7 36:7,13,17
23:18,20,25 25:19	certificate 3:20	23:14	36:21,25 37:2
30:22,22 43:18	certified 76:3	clarity 51:19	75:4
44:2 49:21 50:10	ces 15:17	clark 6:8 37:8	come 16:13 51:16
50:21 68:13 70:19	cetera 16:8 24:7	clause 40:19	71:4 72:22
70:20 73:7,7,8	chambers 10:12	44:16	coming 15:18
capital 3:22,24	16:16,23 17:4	clean 38:20	73:18
4:1 13:20,25 14:7	change 30:13	clear 23:25 26:14	commence 19:16
care 74:9	chapter 2:4	27:18 31:3 44:15	commencement
careful 52:12	check 36:11,16	46:16 63:21	31:17 32:6 42:7
carnegie 6:10	cheese 53:20,24	clearly 28:24 29:9	commentator
carry 18:12	54:1	29:9 30:8	26:7
case 1:3 16:12	china 44:13	client 21:11 29:11	committed 43:14
23:25 26:6,17	choose 20:3	42:17 53:3 56:22	committee 2:9 4:4
27:2 31:18 32:6	christ 9:10	clients 42:10	6:18 10:13 13:5
32:13,17 33:19	cir 33:17 57:9	client's 54:12	13:14 15:19
36:3 38:4 42:15	circuit 23:13	close 11:11 31:8	common 17:20
44:3 55:16 57:2,3	26:10 45:6 55:20	32:12 71:22	company 50:6
57:8 58:12 64:10	57:10	closed 15:14	compel 3:1,5
64:11 65:9,14	circumstances	43:20 51:19	37:11 46:7 54:6
66:16 72:7	24:19 32:22 35:25	closing 47:8 49:5	55:15,21 56:9
cases 11:13 19:20	cite 26:1 27:17	code 2:6 4:6 18:1	57:11
22:9 23:1 24:6,24	cited 19:20 28:12	31:13 32:15 52:9	compelling 3:2
24:25 25:12 27:24	33:3 36:4	52:9 56:11	55:13
27:25 33:3,14	civil 3:16	coelho 8:4	complaint 61:12
47:10,11	claim 3:2 29:2	collateral 7:10	61:13,20,24 62:23
cash 36:11	48:7 51:22 59:20	colleague 13:4	63:1,16 64:13,17
cashing 36:15	59:23 60:23 62:7	college 74:12	69:4 72:25
category 14:22	64:5,8,23,24 65:3	collier 31:21	completely 22:11
15:5	67:11,12,15,20	collier's 22:25	27:4 31:25 40:16
catherine 8:14	68:5,11,15,20,20	23:13 32:24 33:5	complicated 33:9
causative 63:15	68:20,21,24 69:1	33:14,21	comply 34:13
cause 32:6 52:4	69:2,6,7 70:6,15	collins 7:6 17:15	68:10 71:13
causes 19:22	70:19,20,22 71:2	17:16,18 18:11,15	complying 34:14
cds 4:7	71:3,8,8,10,12,14	18:22 19:3,6,13	concept 23:16,17
ceased 14:3	71:14 72:3	20:1,5,8,13,17,20	concepts 23:15
center 6:10 17:21	claimant's 62:12	20:23 21:3,6,18	33:5
17:23	claims 11:14,16	21:21,25 22:2,8	concern 50:6
century 6:3	11:17 46:24,24	22:14,17,22 23:2	concerned 62:19
certain 13:15,16	47:21 49:22,25,25	23:6,9 26:8,13,20	64:8
37:14,16 43:21	50:2,3 51:14,25	27:3,10,14,21,24	concerning 62:3
49:11,16	59:14 62:6 64:12	28:3,5,8,11,16	

concluded 75:5	contains 34:16	14:6,12,16 15:3	59:3,9,12 60:1,10
concludes 74:25	contention 30:9	15:22 16:9,14,18	60:22,24 61:3,8
condition 18:16	contest 20:2 41:14	16:22 17:1,6,10	61:10,12,15,18,20
35:23	context 28:13	17:12,17 18:9,14	61:23,24 62:2,5
conditional 18:5	continue 23:16	18:21,23 19:4,11	62:11,17,22,25
19:22 23:3,4	63:14	19:15,16,19,25	63:3,6,10,12,18
24:22 26:22 34:16	contract 50:16	20:3,4,6,10,15,18	63:21,24 64:2,5,7
conduct 13:14	53:7 54:12 55:17	20:22 21:1,4,6,10	64:10,14,19,22
34:22	57:5	21:20,22,22,23	65:2,7,10,13,16
conferences 16:16	contracts 49:7,14	22:1,3,10,15,19	65:23 66:1,4,7,12
confirm 2:12,21	50:1,14,15 51:2	22:23 23:5,8,10	66:15,18 67:1,8
43:18	52:17 53:15	23:12,12 24:4	67:10,19,22,25
confirmation	contrary 33:3	26:9,11,14,23	68:3,8,19 69:4,9
52:11	controversies	27:6,11,15,23,25	69:12,15,20,24
confirmed 33:23	25:6	28:2,4,7,10,14,16	70:5,14,18 71:1
44:2,3 55:25	convinced 35:22	28:18,25 29:9,16	71:11,17 72:6,9,9
conflict 13:4	copies 66:21	29:18,23 30:2	72:12,16,19,21,24
conflicts 6:17	copy 16:24 17:23	31:6,8 32:20 33:4	72:25 73:1,2,3,4,7
confused 33:2	38:15,20,21 61:20	33:17,18 34:8,21	73:9,9,10,10,14
confusion 33:4	corp 2:12,24 7:2	35:24 36:1,6,10	73:16,19,22,25
congress 24:19	17:16 33:16 57:3	36:14,19,22 37:1	74:2,4,4,6,8,10,11
25:12	corporation 1:7	37:3,14,17,20,23	74:12,15,17,18,22
connection 50:7	10:3	38:14,19,21 39:1	74:24 75:2
consent 12:23	correct 18:13,15	39:6,7,9,13,15,23	courtney 9:7
consequence 38:9	19:3 20:14,16	40:1,5,10,15,18	courtroom 10:9
consequences	21:12,14 22:14	41:3,7,10,15,19	56:17 58:21
68:19	37:16,22,25 39:22	41:23 42:2,5,8,13	courts 22:9 24:1
consequential	correctly 43:6	42:18,20,22 43:4	32:21,23 35:20
24:23 25:19,24	70:24	43:8,12,14 44:10	36:2
26:18 27:20 32:19	costs 18:12 56:1	44:15,20,24 45:3	court's 74:19
33:1,8 34:2,7	59:22	45:15,24 46:3,5	cover 59:19 60:3
consider 44:12	counsel 6:17 13:5	46:10,19 47:2,4	covered 24:10
considered 32:1	13:22 15:11 40:2	47:12,14,22 48:5	35:10 36:19
consistent 40:22	country 76:21	48:12,15,19,21,25	covers 60:11
consolidator 41:1	couple 17:8 44:4,6	49:6,11 50:13,16	craftsman 3:6
48:3	53:12	50:23 51:2,9,12	37:18,18 53:4,10
consortium 15:5	course 10:22	52:5,9,18,22,25	56:23
constituents	12:25 15:20 19:16	53:2,9,12,17,20	create 23:20
11:24	28:17 40:22 44:21	53:22 54:1,3,6,9	creates 47:4
constituted 34:22	court 1:1,12 10:2	54:18,20 55:1,7	credit 14:21
construe 32:13	10:8,14,17,25	55:10,13,23 56:6	creditors 2:10 4:5
contacted 44:9	11:3,7 12:1,9,14	57:17 58:9,13,15	6:18 10:13 13:5
	12:18,24 13:6,11	58:17,22,24 59:1	43:1 47:1,11

criteria 55:13	dealing 24:22	declare 29:11	demands 34:13,15
critical 38:13	38:11 62:22	declaring 2:13,22	denial 30:8
cure 19:8 34:12	dealings 51:16	18:1	denied 35:15
34:18,18,19 49:12	dealt 23:2 24:23	deductible 60:6,8	70:17
50:8 52:17	27:13 34:4 57:2	60:15	deny 30:3 64:8
cured 25:4	deborah 8:9	defamation 72:18	denying 64:19
cures 50:8	debtor 1:9 3:5,7	default 14:21 18:6	66:24 71:5
custodians 16:8	5:4 13:17 19:21	18:8,9,9,25 19:8	department 7:16
cyrus 3:22 6:2	20:15 21:14 24:5	19:12,22 20:2	depending 20:8
13:19,20,22 15:24	25:19 30:24 32:2	21:12,16 25:3,7	45:24 68:14
d	33:20 35:7,8,25	26:18 27:8,20	depends 49:17,18
d 1:22 2:6 3:8	36:8,22 38:6 39:4	30:12,14,16,17,18	54:1 67:12
8:19 10:1	45:7 48:13 52:11	30:22 32:9 33:8	deposition 15:17
damages 29:1	52:12 54:11 55:17	33:11,12 34:7,9	described 15:1
69:21	55:17,25 57:5,13	35:5 36:15 49:12	describing 71:14
dana 57:3	60:7 62:18	defaulted 25:3	determination
daniel 8:7	debtors 2:5 3:3	defaults 34:2	19:25 20:24 21:4
data 62:14 64:16	10:6 13:17 18:24	defend 59:16	21:7 31:9 34:8
date 11:14 12:9	29:19 35:21 36:4	defendant 62:6,12	determine 28:6,8
18:19,20 27:4	39:16 40:7,21	defendants 59:6	determined 49:8
46:23 48:11 49:24	44:20 46:16,22,23	73:5	49:20
58:5 68:4,9,10,23	47:10,20 48:2,6,9	defense 30:14	determines 34:21
68:24 69:1,16,17	49:3 50:4,7,9	59:18,22 70:14,19	detriment 43:2
69:17 70:9 71:2,7	51:14 54:13 56:10	70:20	dibattista 8:5
71:13 72:4 76:25	56:11 59:6 67:13	defenses 19:18	dicta 26:4,11 27:2
dated 60:20 61:25	67:16 69:25 71:24	define 42:3	dictum 33:22
64:24	72:14	defined 41:25	didn't 26:1,11
dates 65:3	debtors' 2:17 3:12	42:1	29:4 30:18 33:20
	3:14 10:11 13:16	definition 23:22	40:16 49:24 55:24
day 10:17,19 12:4	23:16 38:22 40:1	delay 57:12	66:8 67:14 70:22
19:14 27:5 30:3	59:12 66:18	deliver 41:9 48:2	73:15 74:16
38:10 56:25	december 14:24	55:6	different 22:24
days 18:8,19	15:6,12	delivered 37:20	23:8,14 28:13
43:18 49:13 50:13	decide 21:11,14	38:5 39:20 40:12	49:4 70:6
50:23 51:19 52:25	27:19 29:1 56:12	41:13,16,17,20	dig 40:9
56:13,25 57:23	69:3	42:7 44:14 45:20	dillman 8:6
de 14:23 15:13	decided 20:11	45:24 46:1 54:23	direct 69:25
deadline 34:18	21:19 23:5 25:10	63:8	directed 40:21
56:13	35:12	deliveries 45:17	44:21
deal 24:6,24 43:20	decision 23:11	delivery 39:17,19	disagree 22:10,11
46:5 48:18 49:2	26:9	45:7,7 48:8	disagreed 33:4
68:16 70:7 71:7	declaration 30:4	demanding 54:21	disagreement
dealer 53:8		54:22	15:9
	X7 '4 4 T	val Solutions	

1'1 2.7	(0.14.70.5.71.6	.41 21 11 24 12	20.20.21
disclosure 2:5	68:14 70:5 71:6	either 31:11 34:13	events 20:20,21
11:23	73:11	35:11 38:16 50:5	20:23
discovery 13:24	doing 19:11,13	54:14 72:15	eventually 27:20
14:19,20,22 15:8	27:18 55:10	election 18:7	evict 19:17
15:16,19 30:11	dollar 43:21 47:8	email 12:24 16:23	eviction 24:5
discretion 28:16	59:14 60:5,6,8	17:4 59:25	25:22 30:6 34:20
52:11,13 55:23	dollars 50:11	emails 29:19,21	34:24 35:19,21
discussed 36:2	dollars' 42:4	emanuel 14:3	36:2
discussion 34:1	don't 12:10,24	emergency 29:12	evidence 41:8
discussions 15:10	15:21 16:12 17:1	29:24	ex 4:4 12:22,25
dismiss 11:21	21:18 23:23 25:11	emotional 68:17	14:10
dispute 3:18	27:17 29:21 30:1	emphasize 31:19	exacerbate 33:4
18:24 19:5,25	33:14 38:14 45:11	employees 56:19	exactly 12:2 16:17
20:7 21:18 26:16	45:21 53:7 55:12	encourage 72:3	examination 4:7
27:8 29:20 30:1	66:19,24 68:19,21	encouraged 47:1	12:23 13:15
34:25 35:1,4,12	69:3,5 71:11 74:3	enforce 30:5	example 28:20
38:2 39:25 40:7,8	dr 61:6	engage 24:20	exceeded 59:15
40:25 70:2	drain 1:22	40:16	60:14,16
disputed 19:12	drop 41:1	entered 11:7	exception 31:23
26:18 39:23	dublin 5:18	17:23 41:16,17,21	exclusive 2:7
disputes 19:21	due 39:3	enterprises 24:7	exclusivity 2:4
41:10 62:3	duty 59:16	entirety 71:25	10:11 43:16
disregarded	e	entitled 39:5	excuse 14:19,25
32:12	e 1:21,21 5:1,1	51:23,24 52:8	15:6
distinction 25:13	6:23 8:16,23 10:1	66:20	excused 17:9
33:14	10:1 76:1	entry 4:5 17:25	executing 11:19
distinguishes 45:6	e.d. 32:16	equitable 32:2	executory 50:18
distress 68:17	east 6:3	equities 32:15	51:2,5,6 53:15
district 1:2 11:21	easy 24:14	esl 43:20,21 47:14	54:11,14,14,17
23:12 26:9 33:17	ecro 1:25	49:2,4,20 50:16	exercise 40:17
35:20 45:5 72:16	ed 61:6	50:18 51:15 52:2	exhibit 61:23
73:1,2,4,8,9	edged 54:10	58:10	existing 62:23
doctor 65:1 70:7	editors 22:25	essence 10:17	expect 16:12 58:8
doctrine 70:12	edward 7:14	51:17	expectation 12:5
document 2:7,10	effect 30:24	establish 56:19	expected 47:7
2:15,17,19,24 3:3	efficient 11:15	estate 24:14 30:8	expecting 16:15
3:9,12,14,20,22	47:11	32:1 35:14 36:18	57:18
3:24 4:2,8 16:1	efficiently 11:18	43:2	expedited 11:15
doesn't 19:24	efforts 18:2	et 16:8 24:7	expense 37:11
21:23 22:5,12	eggermann 8:7	evan 9:12	39:18 40:20 48:10
24:13 30:4 35:13	eight 39:14	event 10:9 32:9	48:25 51:25
35:15 36:22 38:2	eighth 7:11	34:17,21	expenses 17:9
44:17 52:9,10	ognui 7.11		42:14,24 43:19
		ral Calutions	

[expenses - glenn] Page 8

44:3 47:5 50:2	49:3,7,13 50:15	71:13 72:3	forward 11:18
52:10 57:20	50:21 51:1,12,18	filed 2:23 3:8	46:18 50:6 51:16
expiration 19:14	52:7 54:25 55:4,8	11:13,16 13:19,19	found 67:6
31:16,20 32:10,18	55:11,25 57:25	13:25 14:7,9	fox 7:14
expire 27:5	58:2,4,12,18	17:24 37:5 38:4	frankly 11:22
expired 18:4,4,8	66:21 67:3 71:22	43:7 46:25 52:16	23:10 25:20 30:22
24:11,12	71:23 72:3,11	58:6,21 61:16,16	free 36:11
expires 31:24 34:6	74:6,9,25 75:3	62:8 72:7	frequently 27:16
exposure 62:8	fails 34:19	filing 2:4 12:4	fritz 7:1 17:16
65:3	failure 18:11	14:10 27:4 31:13	front 10:6 11:10
expressway 17:19	34:17	50:2	16:7 28:15 71:3
17:22	fair 20:22 45:19	final 52:18,21	fronts 49:4
extend 2:4,6	55:23 60:10	finally 15:4,15	full 43:19
10:11 27:7	faith 10:23	find 50:19 67:5	fully 22:4 61:19
extended 43:17	fall 45:20,22	finding 36:15	function 23:21
extension 10:18	47:17,17	fine 11:3 12:18,20	52:23
10:19 12:4	falls 48:22	16:22 53:1 74:18	fund 4:1
extra 38:21	false 67:17	finish 12:2	funds 14:1,1,4
extremely 38:13	falsified 66:23	firm 72:17	g
f	67:2,23	first 10:10 27:12	g 8:22 10:1
f 1:21 9:6 18:25	far 26:4 38:7	29:20,20 37:10	galardi 8:10
29:15 76:1	62:18 63:24 64:8	38:10 41:8 46:6	garrett 5:11 40:6
f.3d 57:9	71:24	46:21,22 60:9	46:15 71:23
fact 21:12 22:20	farmington 17:21	61:5 63:14,24	garrity 26:17
30:12,13,23 31:11	farmingville	70:8 71:5 72:24	garrity's 33:23
33:9 34:5 35:7	17:19	73:2	34:1
41:3 42:9 43:2	farrell 7:1 17:16	fit 42:24	gathered 60:7
45:17,19 47:16	february 1:16 2:2	fits 32:22	general 48:1
50:18 60:14,15	76:25	fletcher 8:9	60:11,19
67:7	federal 72:9,15	flexibility 47:10	generally 42:15
factor 28:24	73:1,1,3	54:15 56:12	getting 36:14 43:1
factors 28:12	feel 36:11	flooding 49:24	43:24 52:6
facts 34:3 41:11	feinstein 6:16	floor 6:3	give 57:21 65:19
41:19 45:16 46:17	13:3	focus 42:13	67:13,16 71:11
47:24 55:23	feld 5:13	focusing 39:11	74:11
factual 59:20	fennell 8:8	53:17	given 18:20 29:19
fail 5:11 37:4	fifth 5:5	following 33:12	30:16 35:20 65:11
38:25 40:4,6,6,11	figure 24:15,15	follows 14:19	74:4
40:16,24 41:5,8	39:10 40:12 49:13	forced 57:6 60:8	gives 56:11
41:12,18,22 45:15	50:4 68:15 69:13	foregoing 76:3	giving 70:6
46:8,12,15,15,20	file 12:6 46:24	foreign 48:2,3	glad 11:6
47:6,13,19,23	49:24 51:22 68:11	formulate 67:11	glenn 36:3
48:6,13,17,20,24	68:24 69:1 71:2,2		
		1014	

[glitch - injury] Page 9

alitah 15.9.10	54:21 66:9 67:8	hionoglyphics	hunting 11.6
glitch 45:8,10		hieroglyphics	hurting 44:6
go 12:21,21 19:16	gump 5:13	38:17	hyde 4:25 76:3,8
24:3 31:19 35:25	guy 29:23	hill 6:8 37:9	i
46:18,21 51:14	h	hmm 27:14	idea 68:11
53:21 62:13,24	hadley 6:1	holding 55:11	identified 59:5
70:7 71:9 73:12	hadn't 11:5 27:3	holdings 1:7 10:3	identify 16:7 59:6
goes 53:24 64:11	hand 11:3 54:13	hon 1:22	identifying 43:24
going 10:22 11:10	67:15 70:11	hong 48:4	ignore 72:18
12:15,21 16:10	hands 70:18,21	honor 10:4,6,10	ii 3:8
17:13 24:20 25:12	·	10:19 11:9 12:8	
28:25 30:23 31:19	hansen 8:12	12:17,20 13:2,12	illinois 11:21
36:4,14 40:9	happen 43:11	13:18 14:1,15,18	immediate 3:1
42:15 45:12 46:22	56:21 60:22 61:8	15:2,4,15 16:13	immediately
49:3,8,14,19,19	happened 34:23	16:21 17:5,7,15	44:18
50:5,6,17,19	60:25 62:18 66:8	22:18 27:22 29:8	important 34:20
51:16 52:16 53:12	67:19,21	29:15 31:7 36:7	56:22,23
53:13,21 55:20	happy 14:13	36:21 37:2,4,9,16	impression 55:24
57:7,8,14 64:7	46:13 48:1 74:7	37:22 38:1,13,18	inapplicable 2:14
/ /	hard 16:24 20:11		2:22
68:5,9 69:15,16	20:18 55:14,15	38:25 39:12,22	incidents 60:11
70:8	harm 46:21	40:17,24,24 41:18	include 30:11
gold 8:11	harrison 8:13	41:22,24 42:17	73:25 74:1
good 10:2,4,6,23	hasn't 13:1 20:6	43:5 46:13,16	including 11:19
12:9 13:2 16:22	20:10,16 34:23,23	47:6,20 48:17	24:15 30:6 33:23
17:15,17 53:24	34:24 49:20	49:10,16,23 50:25	36:2 49:7
56:20 57:12 58:23	hauer 5:13	51:8,18,22 54:5,8	incorrect 22:4
58:24	haven't 28:10	54:25 55:4 57:16	67:2
goodness 11:12	30:15 47:24 54:16	57:24,25 58:14,18	incredible 47:6
goods 3:7 38:3,4,6		58:23,25 59:2	
38:6,7,8,12 39:17	heads 66:11	61:14 62:20 64:1	incur 48:10
41:1,13,16,20	hear 46:7,12	64:1,9,21,24	incurring 56:1
44:12 52:3	heard 13:9 15:24	65:15,20 66:22	indenture 7:9
gotshal 5:3 10:5	49:15 71:24	69:14,19 70:11	india 48:4
40:7 46:16	hearing 2:1,1	71:22 74:9,21,25	indicated 59:5
gotten 26:3 41:11	10:21 12:10,11,15	75:4	60:4
grant 3:8	13:10 15:7 22:1		indiscernible 17:3
U	57:22 65:18 69:16	hope 58:12,13	38:4,13 43:15
granted 39:18	69:18 70:8 72:13	hopefully 56:13	62:5,6,6,12 68:2
40:20	73:17	57:21	74:3
granting 3:2	heavy 56:9	hoping 43:10	information 60:7
great 10:14 11:8	heizenrater 8:14	hotel 32:15	injunction 34:4,6
15:3	here's 67:9	housekeeping	injunctions 25:2
gregg 8:10	herrick 6:16 13:3	36:7	injury 11:17 62:7
guess 12:11 15:23	he's 66:20,23,25	hurtado 8:15	62:18 64:25
20:13 44:11 54:9	67:3		52.10 OT.23
	07.3		
		ral Calutions	

[insofar - landlord] Page 10

-			2
insofar 30:3	it'll 68:25 69:18	54:9,12 55:2 56:4	kind 39:5 45:8
insolvent 43:3	it's 10:6,17,19,20	56:14,21 57:14,18	kleist 8:16
instances 51:15	12:13,14,16,25	58:9 60:18,19,20	kmart 17:23 18:3
insurance 59:5,13	14:4 15:20 16:1,6	60:23 61:2,22	19:17,17 20:1
59:17,18,21 60:2	16:20 19:11,11	62:22 63:10 64:7	62:12 64:15 65:3
60:5,9,18 64:3,14	20:8,11,11,18,19	64:19 66:1,18	65:8 73:5,6,7,8,12
71:6	21:24 22:8,23	67:10,10,22 68:20	73:22,23,25 74:1
insured 64:12	23:19,23 24:1,13	69:7,15,16,21,22	74:19
insurer 59:17	24:14,18,20,25	70:7 71:5 74:20	kmart's 62:13
intend 12:3	25:4,10,17 26:5,5	i've 27:12 43:20	know 11:11 20:10
intent 11:25	26:6,8,11,17,18	56:16	23:19 25:7,7,11
interest 29:13	26:18,23,25 27:11	j	25:18,21 26:11,15
32:2	27:11,18 28:25	j 9:12	27:2,11,18 28:14
interpretation	29:3,5,19,24 31:2	january 13:13	28:18,20,22 30:2
48:18	35:10,14 36:19	14:4,25,25 15:12	30:2,10,22,24,25
interrupt 66:15	39:12 40:19 42:1	60:21 62:14 64:16	36:20,23 38:1,3,7
investigate 47:20	42:8,18,19 43:5,6	jason 8:5 9:4	39:24 42:10,21
62:7	43:10 45:11,12	joel 3:8	43:16 44:2,5,8,14
investigated	48:23,25 49:1	joinder 4:1 14:8	44:17 45:4,5,17
46:17	50:7 51:20 52:2,7	joker 24:7	47:23,23 49:9
invoices 50:11	52:20,20 53:20	josh 9:6	50:23 51:1 52:20
invoke 73:7	54:6,10,14,16	judge 1:23 24:5	52:20 53:2,10,13
involuntary 11:22	55:14,14,22 56:13	25:7 26:17 33:23	56:1,8,11,14,19
isn't 20:7 30:7	56:25 57:4 58:20	34:1 35:22 36:3	56:22,25 57:2,9
35:17 52:2 64:11	59:20,20 61:11,23	57:2 63:7 65:18	57:11 60:12 68:12
issuance 24:4	61:24 66:12 68:6	65:19,20,20,25	68:21,25,25 69:5
35:19,21	68:7,8,8,12,14	66:3,6,10 67:5	71:12 73:11
issue 20:12,12,19	69:2 72:9,9 73:1,2	73:14,17	known 17:21
21:15,18 22:7,8	73:3	judges 24:15,15	koch 8:17
23:17,19 26:15	ivan 8:11	26:19 74:12	kong 48:4
27:19 28:9 29:7	i'd 68:9 74:11	judge's 63:9	kosson 8:18
34:2 41:25 42:9	i'll 35:17 48:20,20	judicial 74:12	kreller 6:6
42:18,19 45:4,5	48:24 71:3	july 3:19 62:9	kristopher 8:12
54:9,21 55:5 61:5	i'm 10:5 11:6,11	jump 38:1,2 39:8	l
74:19 issued 25:22	12:10 14:13 21:6	47:2	l 5:19 8:9
65:20	22:3,5 26:16 29:1 30:10,23 31:1,19	june 66:3	l.p. 3:22,24
issues 22:9 24:8,9	32:16 36:4 37:6	justice 7:16 69:23	laid 16:20 63:15
25:5,9 42:13	39:9 40:1,3 41:15	k	64:12 70:12
51:21	45:16 46:11,13	katherine 8:23	lampert's 56:17
item 37:4 58:18	47:22 48:1,21,22	keep 29:17 35:24	landlord 19:6,17
it'd 68:12	48:23 50:18 51:9	kelly 8:16	19:18,23 20:14
-5 4 50.12	51:11,18 53:17	key 60:15	21:16,22 25:2
			27:16 34:16 35:3
	l-	1	1

26.0.10	1 10010	11 20 7 22 20	144
36:8,10	leased 18:2,12	live 20:7 23:20	managers 14:4
landlord's 18:2,6	leases 25:9 31:23	35:6,12,17 60:2	manges 5:3 10:5
18:12,17 19:8	31:24,25	living 25:8	40:7
30:5,9 34:13,14	leave 45:8 62:3	llc 3:5,9 6:9 32:15	manufacturing
34:15	lectures 74:11	33:24 37:6,9	3:5,9 6:9 37:5,9
language 26:7	ledanski 4:25 76:3	llp 5:3,13 6:1,16	march 10:22
lanier 8:19	76:8	7:8	13:10 58:3,4
large 33:15 47:9,9	left 33:19	lolo 24:7 25:23	61:25 68:25 73:18
larger 56:14	legal 32:2 62:20	27:21 36:3	73:18
latent 62:7	76:20	long 29:6 46:12	mark 51:23,25
law 18:15,23	length 57:3	longer 12:25	massey 8:23
23:25 24:2 25:1	lessor 31:15 32:4	14:10 22:24 72:7	master 4:1
26:6,14 33:2 34:2	32:7	look 22:15 44:14	matter 1:5 17:13
45:6 57:2 64:11	letter 59:11	69:9	34:14 36:7 59:20
74:13	let's 57:22	looked 28:19	62:20 64:12 70:16
lawsuit 34:24	lexis 33:16,25	43:10 45:16	72:16
lawsuits 60:13	liabilities 49:17	looking 12:12,15	matters 2:1 61:15
lawyer 66:18	49:18 50:4 52:1	28:23 40:1 60:18	matthew 8:17
lawyers 59:22	liability 60:11,20	60:20 61:2 62:23	mccloy 6:1
lay 69:1,12	lieb 8:20	los 6:4	mean 18:24 19:4
lays 68:23 69:6	lied 65:10	lose 57:8	20:21 26:21 28:23
lead 20:23 35:9	lifland 57:2	lot 25:20 29:12	29:3,23 34:6
61:6	lift 35:10 63:13,14	30:16 69:21	36:20 37:25 38:22
leading 26:7	lifted 28:3	love 27:16	42:17 43:22 44:16
31:21 51:12	lii 8:21	lower 33:17	45:15 53:5 55:13
lease 2:14,23	limit 56:25 59:14	lucia 8:15	56:11,13 59:7
17:23,24 18:4,5,8	60:13,14	lured 36:14	68:19 71:11
18:14,16,17,19	limitation 18:5,16	m	meaning 22:11
19:14,24 20:14,24	23:3,4 26:22	m 5:10 7:14 8:7	medical 61:7
21:8 22:15,16,20	34:16	8:10,11,12 9:4	62:13,19 65:11
22:24 23:7,15,16	limited 2:9 3:24	mad 24:7 25:23	69:22
23:18,20,21,22,23	10:12 13:24 24:11	36:3	medications 61:7
24:1,2,5,8,11,16	31:23	main 35:11	medium 13:16
24:17,18,25 25:2	limits 60:16		mention 70:10
25:4,8,16,21	line 11:1 47:3	maintenance	mentioned 27:21
26:12,15,24 28:20	linn 8:22	18:12	42:1
28:21,22 29:7	liquidate 68:13	majority 22:25	merchandise 42:4
30:5,6,7 31:4,10	list 52:16,19,21	26:6 32:23	mich 32:16
31:15,17,21 32:5	listen 27:11	making 60:23	michael 8:24
32:8,11,17,25	litigate 34:13		michasel 8:22
33:7 34:6,12,15	litigation 3:16	managed 14:1,1,2	michigan 33:3
34:17,22 35:1,4,6	28:5,25 59:18,23	management 2:12	microphones
35:12,17,18 36:19	66:19	2:24 7:2 17:14,16	46:12
. ,		17:18	
		ral Solutions	

[midwood - october]

Page 12

2:24 7:2 17:14,16 17:18	58:19,20 59:13 63:13 64:8,20,23	19:20 23:20 24:2 25:1 34:2,10	notified 10:12 nov 33:25
milbank 6:1	69:16 71:4	42:21 50:6 61:24	november 38:5
million 42:4 47:15	motions 16:15	62:25 63:18 64:13	61:1,1,9,16 64:25
59:14 60:5,6,8,13	17:8 37:5,10 53:6	64:23 65:8 67:11	68:1,1,6,7
60:15	57:15	72:7,12,15	number 32:21
milton 3:5,9 6:9	mouth 55:2	ng 58:21,21,23,24	33:23 36:2 40:8
37:5,9 47:7 50:11	movant 55:15,16	58:25 59:2,4,10	44:5 49:4 51:21
52:2	move 11:18,25	59:24 60:4,18,23	ny 1:14 5:6,16
milton's 37:6	29:4 73:16	60:25 61:5,9,11	6:20 7:4,12,19
46:20	moved 13:14	61:14,19,22 62:1	76:23
mind 11:4 55:14	72:10	62:4,10,16,20	0
57:4	mtns 12:23 14:20	63:2,4,11,17,20	
mineola 76:23	14:21	63:23 64:1,4,6,9	o 1:21 10:1 76:1
minimizing 17:8	n	64:18,21,24 65:5	o'donnell 13:2,7
misery 47:4		65:10,15,17,25	13:12 14:7,17
mittelman 8:24	n 5:1 10:1 76:1	66:3,5,10,14,17	15:4 16:5,10,17
mm 27:14	names 73:4	67:5,9,18,21,24	16:20,24 17:5
moment 51:3	narotam 1:25	68:1,7,17 69:7,11	oberg 8:25
63:22 65:19	narrow 32:25	69:14,19,21 70:4	objected 46:25
monday 11:12	nature 23:3	70:10,16,24 71:9	objection 2:9,17
51:20	necessarily 41:12	71:15,20 72:2,6	3:12,14,24 10:13
money 42:22 51:4	66:19	72:11,13,17,21,23	13:24
month 58:1 61:6	necessary 23:11	73:13,21,24 74:1	obligated 55:8
months 44:4,7	26:6	74:3,7,15,20,23	obligation 19:1
53:12	need 12:10 15:19	ng's 71:25	21:13 67:13,16
morning 10:2,4	17:1 21:14 26:2	night 43:9	obligations 39:16
11:16 13:2,8	42:22 47:10 50:4	nj 6:12	40:22
17:15,17 58:23,24	50:9 65:22 68:10	nola 6:14 37:8	obtain 31:18
motion 2:4,5,12	69:12 71:13	non 2:14,22 13:17	obvious 52:8
2:13,21,21 3:1,5	needed 12:16 30:1	31:15 32:5 51:2,5	obviously 11:13
3:16 4:4 10:11	needless 50:2	54:11,11,14,16	27:15
11:13,16 12:10,14	needs 21:19 47:7	55:17 57:5	occur 30:14,18
12:22,25 13:19,21	52:12	northern 11:21	33:10
14:8,9,11 17:14	negisa 8:2	note 35:17 37:13	occurred 20:21,25
17:24,24 28:14	neglect 62:5	noted 14:9 16:6	30:23 34:9 45:17
30:3 31:9 35:15	neglected 62:11	31:23 32:20	occurs 32:9
37:10,17 38:9,22	negotiate 10:23	notes 13:16 31:22	och 4:1 14:7
39:3,3 42:2,3,10	43:16 63:5	notice 2:1 18:7,18	oco 3:24 13:25
43:7 44:25 45:1	negotiations 3:19	18:20 19:8,15	14:1
45:18 46:6,6,7,10	neither 19:9,9,9	25:4 29:20 30:17	october 37:21
49:9,21 52:14	new 1:2 5:6,16 6:20 7:12,19	33:11,12 34:11	38:4,5 65:9,18
The state of the s	□ n:/U /*I / 19	i ·	I .

[odd - period] Page 13

odd 45:8
offer 15:6
official 2:9 4:4
6:18 10:13
offsetting 14:23
15:14
oh 29:9 37:6 40:3
51:11
okay 10:2,25 11:7
11:8 12:18,20,24
13:11,12 14:6
15:22 16:9,14,22
17:3,11,12,12
20:4 23:9 29:16
36:22 37:1,3,8,23
39:1,13,15 40:5
41:7,23 44:24
45:23 46:5,11
48:19 52:22 54:5
57:24 58:15,17
59:3,7 60:1 61:5
63:12 64:7,9,18
65:5,20 66:15
68:4 69:13,14,18
69:19,20 71:9,20
71:20 72:21 73:12
74:22,24 75:2
old 76:21
omega 14:2,3 15:2
omnibus 10:21
12:11 13:10 57:18
58:1,2 70:8
once 21:25
onder 9:1
ones 49:18
ongoing 62:3
open 20:12,19
22:7,8,9 44:16
operate 31:14
operation 18:4
opinion 33:18,24
opinions 33:23

opportunity 19:8
oppose 13:21
opposed 30:21
33:1 67:25
opposition 39:4
option 56:10
options 34:12
oral 33:13
order 2:13,22 4:5
10:15 11:2 12:21
14:17,18 15:6
16:6,21 17:25
24:4 30:24 31:2
35:10 36:5 38:10
38:12,15,23 39:3
41:13,16,17,20,21
42:1,2 44:14,15
45:9,10 48:16,17
58:5,6 63:9 65:19
66:3 67:5 68:23
70:25 71:13,19
73:15,17
ordered 3:7 38:3
orderly 68:10 orders 3:6 37:15
37:24 39:18 41:25
42:3 49:15 50:17
51:3,6 ordinary 40:22
44:21
original 39:3
outcome 27:24
28:17
outline 14:14
outreach 58:10
outside 48:8 52:1
overseas 48:9
owes 38:9
owing 30:13 36:24
owned 13:17
owners 17:20
o'donnell 6:23
13:3
13.3

o'neal 9:2
p
p 5:1,1 8:8 10:1
p.c. 7:1
p.o.s 40:11,12
pace 74:13
pages 34:1
paid 42:15,23
43:1,25 44:4,17
50:5,8 52:1,6
55:12 57:7 60:13
pang 7:24
papers 11:20 70:12
paragraph 22:16
22:16 31:22 39:10
39:12,13 40:19
parallel 32:14
pardon 15:16
park 5:15 6:3,19
parking 29:12
part 12:13,14
30:11 33:16 34:20
36:20 44:25 53:5
53:16 63:4,24
71:4,5,7
parte 4:4 12:22,25
14:10
participants 4:7 15:17
participated
13:15
participating
72:15,19
parties 3:18 12:7
13:15 14:13 15:9
15:20,25 16:11,19
17:2 31:10 36:3
46:24 50:2 51:21
54:11 73:4,5,11
73:17
parting 56:16

C
partners 3:22,24
6:2 13:20,25
party 17:8 54:11
55:17 57:5 58:19
party's 40:23
passes 48:1
path 47:1
patrick 7:6 17:15
paul 7:21 9:8
pay 18:11 19:1,9
36:23 40:22 44:21
52:11 55:8,20
56:8 60:8,9
payable 47:16,20
49:1
payables 43:22,24
47:15
paying 36:8 43:19
47:8 53:14,15
55:19 57:13 59:22
payment 3:1 18:9
21:13 30:17,18
32:5 33:11 37:11
44:16 46:19 51:4
51:17 52:10
pbgc 11:20
pedone 9:3
pending 45:2
62:25 72:7 73:1
people 24:14 42:9
42:22 44:5 45:10
51:13 52:7 53:3
percent 29:13,14
perfectly 29:11,18
perform 54:12,22
55:18,18,18 57:6
performance
30:21 57:14
performed 51:4
performing 54:13
period 2:4 10:11
12:4 15:8,10,11
10.14.20.10.42.17

19:14 30:19 43:17

[period - question] Page 14

			_
62:17 63:6 64:15	please 10:2	41:25 42:3 47:21	proofs 68:24
65:4	plenty 24:6 26:19	50:4 59:23 61:12	proper 30:10,10
periods 2:7	point 18:21 26:8	68:8 72:1,4,16	property 2:14,23
perishable 52:3	26:12 27:1 35:11	prefer 52:12	17:20 24:14 30:8
permission 12:22	40:10,11,24 41:4	prejudice 15:18	31:16,19 32:1
permitted 35:20	41:6,18 42:11,14	15:20 30:9 36:11	34:10 35:14
permitting 34:16	45:3,9 48:6,13	52:5	proposed 6:17
personal 11:17	49:20 53:18 56:2	prejudiced 52:3	13:4 14:17 16:20
pertaining 23:15	59:19,21 60:15,17	premature 29:3,5	proposition 19:21
peter 9:9	68:22 70:3 72:5	49:22 52:24	25:16 26:24 30:7
petition 18:6,6	73:2	premise 36:17	30:15 33:3,21
30:19 31:12,13	pointed 43:6	premises 18:2,13	protection 49:25
32:1,10,17 33:10	points 23:8	32:8	protest 19:9
33:12,12 37:23	policy 22:17,19,20	prepare 36:4	provide 10:20
38:3,11 39:16,17	23:2,11,17 25:15	preserve 54:15	11:14 63:8 65:21
39:19,20 41:25	25:24 26:21 27:3	preserved 29:8	66:12,14,16
42:3 45:20 47:16	27:16 33:16 35:8	31:4	provision 18:5
47:19,21 49:1,16	35:11 59:19 60:11	pretext 25:8	21:7 24:11,22
49:18 50:8 59:23	60:16,19	pretty 24:14	25:3 28:19 29:14
68:5 72:1,4,16	port 48:3	55:15,22	29:14 32:20 59:16
phase 49:5	portion 64:19,22	previously 14:2,5	provisions 35:5
philip 5:18	ports 40:13	princeton 6:12	purchase 3:6
phrase 25:13,20	position 22:4,5	prior 34:18 37:17	37:15,24 49:15
31:19	32:21 48:7	47:7	50:17 51:3,6
physical 45:7	possession 27:9	priority 39:18	purpose 42:23
pi 11:17	27:10 31:18 32:3	40:20 50:5 51:23	purposes 19:24
pierce 9:4	33:20 35:8,9	pro 44:4	22:1 31:11
place 14:24 35:24	possibility 44:1	probability 44:1	pursuant 2:5 4:5
72:14	possible 12:8 50:7	probably 66:8	13:14,22 17:25
places 48:3	post 30:19 31:12	68:12 69:18	37:11,12,23 39:19
plain 26:7 32:24	32:9 33:10 39:16	problem 42:16	pursue 29:2 62:24
49:9	39:19,20 45:20	procedures 11:14	63:15
plains 1:14	47:16,19 49:1,5	11:17 30:10 58:5	put 47:25 48:7
plan 2:5 10:20,23	49:16,18 50:5,8	proceed 3:17,18	55:2 57:5
11:20,23 12:4	65:4 68:5 72:12	proceedings 75:5	putting 31:2
43:16,19 44:2	postpetition 3:1	76:4	pvgc 11:19
51:17 57:19	potential 13:16	process 10:21	q
play 50:9	potentially 52:17	12:8 34:4,5 51:13	quarropas 1:13
plaza 7:3 17:19,22	practical 64:12	57:20	quash 16:16
pleadings 15:1	practices 40:23	profited 38:8	question 16:15
40:9	pre 18:6,6 32:1,17	progress 57:19	35:19 43:23 47:22
pleas 67:3	33:11,12 37:23 38:3,11 39:17	proof 29:2 51:22	48:15 52:15 69:24
	30.3,11 39.17	71:3,9,12,13	

[quick - response] Page 15

			_
quick 35:10	55:22 56:9 57:11	rejection 46:7	representation
quickly 11:25	57:12 71:18 73:9	54:7 55:14,16	50:10,21
12:3 35:2 56:15	reasonable 15:22	56:10 57:12,13	representations
quinn 14:2	44:1	related 3:6,8	14:11
quite 23:25	reasoning 26:21	12:23 28:21 30:7	representative
quote 13:21 26:1	reasons 31:25	30:15 33:5	56:17
31:22 32:12 40:21	recall 15:6	relates 14:20 15:5	represented 14:2
quoted 63:16	receive 74:16	15:16	representing 59:1
r	received 40:14	relations 56:20	request 65:14,23
r 1:21 5:1 6:6,14	48:9 59:10,11,24	release 70:1	66:2 73:15
7:25 10:1 76:1	66:22	released 69:25	requested 46:24
r.p.a.p.l. 35:24	reconcile 50:3	70:1	58:6 65:23
rai 1:25	reconciled 47:7	relevant 28:21	requests 16:1 58:6
raise 16:2	reconciliation	64:10	require 36:22
raphael 8:1	57:20	reliance 40:25	52:10 59:17
rata 44:4	reconsider 24:4	41:5	requires 33:15
ray 5:9 10:4	record 10:22 13:3	relied 38:10 42:9	55:18
rdd 1:3	30:10 31:8 40:6	relief 3:8,16 28:10	requiring 57:14
reach 16:11 56:18	46:15 47:24 71:6	28:15 29:4 53:5,6	reservation 15:24
reached 14:12,13	71:23 76:4	58:20 62:24,24	31:1
26:17	records 62:13,19	63:25 73:8 74:19	reserve 13:22
reaching 56:24	63:7,8 65:11,11	74:20	48:20
read 29:21 42:10	65:21,24 66:13,14	rely 33:15 41:13	reserved 45:18
44:17	66:16,20,22 67:4	41:21	54:19,20
reading 29:17	67:14,16,17,17	relying 40:18	reserving 31:1
ready 24:5	69:25 70:1,7	remaining 23:19	48:21
real 2:14,23 31:15	recover 18:2	71:4	residential 2:14
34:10 36:18 42:11	red 11:1	remains 32:7	2:23 31:15
55:19 57:6 71:6	refer 38:23 39:3	72:14	resolution 3:18
really 22:19 23:17	reference 15:7	remedied 46:21	14:14 15:23 16:12
25:16 26:14 29:2	70:11	remedies 28:17	45:13
33:19 39:9 42:8	referred 72:24	remind 47:12	resolve 10:12
43:18 45:6 57:5	referring 18:23	removed 63:3	11:15,18
	69:7	72:25	resolved 35:2,2,4
68:21,23 69:4,5 70:14 71:5,8	refers 32:25 38:23	rent 18:11 19:1,2	45:14
*	reflect 71:6	32:5 36:20	respect 13:23 14:9
realty 22:17,19,20 23:2,11,17 25:16	refusal 62:6	reorganized 50:6	30:17 37:14 61:6
25:24 26:21 27:3	regard 72:16	reply 2:19 14:12	respond 19:7,7
27:16 33:16 35:9	reinert 9:5	35:4 38:23 47:25	48:24 70:23
	reject 3:3,6 28:23	49:23	response 3:22
35:11	56:4	report 14:13	13:19,20 30:20
reason 19:19	rejected 28:25	57:18,19	46:18 59:12 72:17
22:21 25:17 26:13		·	
26:25 28:24 55:21			

rosponens 12.10	robort 1.22	schedule 47:3	coll 52.67
responses 13:18 14:8	robert 1:22		sell 53:6,7 send 16:1 25:4
result 18:16 26:17	room 1:13	scheduled 2:1	send 16:1 25:4 28:24 30:24 49:23
34:7 62:8	roughly 19:1		sends 73:9
	rpapl 24:3 25:23	school 74:13	
resulted 30:12	rule 13:21	schrock 5:9 10:4	sense 12:1
retains 32:2	ruled 27:25 45:4,5	10:5,10,15,19	sent 33:11 65:19
retake 32:7	rules 4:6 19:15	11:1,6,8 12:2,13	72:17
retention 13:8	30:11	12:16,20 17:7,11	separate 24:9
revised 10:15	ruling 31:3	schwartzberg	25:5,9 26:5 35:7
revive 23:25 24:2	run 25:18 30:25	7:21 9:8	46:6 61:15
25:19	36:5 47:1	scope 13:23 14:18	september 65:18
revived 25:22	runs 24:16,17	14:19 16:4,5,16	serve 71:1
26:25	rxr 7:3	screwdrivers	served 34:11 66:5
rewrite 30:22	ryan 9:5	53:22	service 3:20 18:7
richard 9:3	S	se 55:21 57:11	18:17
right 12:18 13:6	s 5:1,9 10:1	sean 6:23 9:2 13:3	services 62:7
15:10 18:10 19:23	s.d.n.y. 33:18,25	search 16:8	set 24:8,9 25:5,9
20:1,4,9,15,17	57:3	sears 1:7 10:3	47:3 68:5 69:18
21:20,23 22:22	safeguard 62:12	13:18 37:20 44:6	70:9
23:9 25:15 27:23	sale 11:11 13:16	45:25 56:23 70:20	settle 62:3
28:2,4,7 29:10,16	13:16 14:20 38:8	73:19,20	settlement 3:19
29:18 31:4 37:20	47:8,14 50:7	seated 10:2	11:19 63:5
37:25 40:15 41:23	51:19	second 14:22	seven 40:11,12
41:24 42:5 43:4,8	sara 5:19 8:4	23:13 26:9 33:20	50:11
43:9,14,16 44:10	satisfied 49:22	40:18 41:9 44:25	seyfarth 7:8
44:10,19,22 46:3	saul 9:6	55:20 57:10 63:18	shaw 7:8
48:1,5,12 49:6,21	sau 9.0 saw 12:24	64:22 71:7 72:22	ship 41:1 45:7
51:20 53:9,17	saw 12.24 saving 15:19.21	secondly 59:16	shipment 38:11
54:18 55:1 56:18	• • •	section 2:6 31:14	39:17,20
58:16,22 61:8	21:1,11,15 22:5	32:13,14 34:21	shipped 40:13
62:16,25 65:2,7	40:19 41:15 46:23 48:23 49:22 51:6	sections 4:6	shopping 17:21
66:4,7,20 68:6,13		see 11:2 20:11	17:22
69:8 72:21 74:22	51:13,18,22 55:17	45:13,21 57:17,21	short 16:14 43:17
rights 13:23 29:6	56:1,4 66:23	58:9,21 61:6 65:1	shortened 18:17
29:11 30:6 31:1	67:13,15,22 68:6	70:5,22 71:8	18:18
36:12 45:18 48:20	68:20 70:19	seek 36:1 47:2	shortens 23:4,6
48:21 54:19,20	says 22:12 24:11	69:23 74:19	show 60:2
rise 21:12	25:2 29:17,23	seeking 14:20,22	signed 38:11 66:3
risk 55:19	31:12 39:15 40:20	15:7,15 17:25	similarly 44:9
risking 14:23	45:18 62:2,13	32:7 42:2 63:25	simple 33:1
15:13	64:17 68:15	70:16 74:20	simple 33.1 simplest 47:1
road 74:12 76:21	scenario 57:10	seeks 30:4 31:9	simply 35:9
11.12 / 0.21	schael 9:7	64:23	
		UT.23	
	Varitant Lac		

[singh - terminated]

Page 17

singh 5:8	stanley 53:8	stopped 66:9,10	sword 54:10
sir 68:13	start 65:8,14	storage 53:15	systems 62:14
siroka 9:9	started 11:18	56:1	t
sitting 11:23,24	34:24	strauss 5:13	t 7:6 76:1,1
situated 44:9	state 19:16 20:3	street 1:13 7:18	taiwan 3:8
skis 16:7	21:22 24:3 28:25	structure 4:1	take 11:20 12:25
small 47:9,9	36:1 47:24 61:24	subject 34:19 67:6	48:7 59:17 74:9
smaller 10:7	62:25 64:13 69:4	submit 26:20	taken 32:21 46:23
smith 6:22 13:4	72:8,12,15,25	51:14	takes 12:4 32:23
smooth 12:8	73:3,10,10 74:4,6	submitted 13:8	talk 50:17 63:22
sold 38:7 40:14	74:10,11,15,17,18	15:1	talking 14:5 43:18
solutions 76:20	stated 13:20 18:19	submitting 36:6	52:4
somewhat 33:2	22:13,16 24:12,13	subparagraph	tastes 53:24
sonnax 28:12,24	24:16,18 25:14,18	34:11	taxes 36:18
64:11	28:22 31:16,20,24	subpoena 65:21	taylor 8:13
sonya 4:25 76:3,8	32:18,25 33:6,21	subsection 31:14	ted 8:6
soon 69:18	34:10 35:3 47:25	substances 62:9	telephonically
sooner 12:19	statement 2:5	substantially 10:7	7:21,23
sorry 32:16 40:3	11:23	12:6	tell 45:16 55:2
41:15 42:12 46:11	states 1:1,12 7:16	suffered 64:25	68:13
51:11 63:10 66:1	status 39:18 40:20	68:17	ten 18:8,19 19:14
67:22 73:21	statute 26:7 33:15	suffering 69:21	24:16,17
sort 28:20 38:1	42:25	suggestion 46:20	tenant 19:7,17,18
39:4 43:10 70:25	statute's 27:18	suite 6:11 7:18	21:22 34:11,19
73:16	stauble 9:10	76:22	tenants 17:20,22
sought 28:10	stay 2:13,13,21,22	summarized 17:2	tenant's 34:17,22
72:13	3:16 18:1,3 21:8	summons 61:24	tender 18:25
sound 26:21,23	24:13 28:3,15	sunny 5:8	teresa 8:21
27:1 68:14	29:4 30:4 31:14	supplier 50:19	term 13:16 18:4,4
sounds 15:22	32:4,11 35:6,10	suppliers 42:4	18:7,16,18 22:13
68:14 70:1 71:25	35:13,24 58:20	supposed 54:12	22:15 24:12,13,17
southern 1:2	62:24 63:13,14	60:9	24:18 25:14,18,25
35:20 45:5	72:14 73:6,11,20	supreme 61:24	31:17,20,24 32:11
speak 44:13	73:22 74:14	62:25 63:6 64:14	32:18,25 33:6
special 6:17	stayed 32:5,7	65:10 73:14	terminate 19:5
specifically 55:4,5	72:20 73:6	sure 11:11 12:7	30:5 32:17 34:17
55:9	stephanie 8:20	14:16 18:22 30:25	terminated 19:6
speculation 24:20	steven 6:22 8:18	37:6 39:1 45:16	19:24 20:7,8,12
srac 14:21	13:4	46:9 49:21 51:10	20:14,19,24 21:2
stand 19:20 46:13	stipulation 59:8	54:10,12 72:23	21:9,24 22:6,12
stands 25:16	59:10	swaps 14:21	24:12 25:5 26:24
27:17	stop 18:21	sweeney 9:11	27:4 29:7 31:4,10
			31:12,16,20,25,25

32:19 34:7 35:5	66:16 68:4 69:5	35:11 36:10 37:25	transaction 52:2
terminates 22:21	69:22 70:6,14	38:22,23 39:2,7	transactions
terminating 32:5	71:12 73:2,19,22	39:25 41:4,25	14:23 15:13,14
termination 2:12	74:16,16,18	42:10 43:18 47:15	transcribed 4:25
2:21 11:22 18:18	theories 35:3	47:25 48:10 51:23	transcript 76:4
18:19 19:14,22	there's 17:21	51:24 53:2,14	treat 18:7
21:17 23:15 24:23	21:16 22:6 23:19	54:15,25 55:1,4,5	treatise 31:21
24:23 25:19 30:18	24:3,9 25:18,20	55:5,9 56:24	treatment 61:7
32:3,8,9,10,19,21	26:15 27:7,19	57:15 58:5 66:19	treatments 65:6
33:1,2,6,7,10	28:14,20,24 30:14	66:22,23,24,24	trial 49:16 67:6,7
terms 15:8 16:8	32:14 41:5,8 44:1	67:1,1,2 68:10	67:7,9
23:6 26:23 32:24	45:1,5 46:23	69:2	tricky 35:18
46:19 56:20	48:15 49:13,15	third 17:8 45:6	tried 38:14
test 57:4	50:13 52:4 55:19	58:19 73:11	trigger 49:24
thank 11:12 17:5	56:25 59:16,21	thomas 6:6 9:1	tro 27:4
17:6,12 36:21	60:16 64:10 66:23	thought 30:16	true 76:4
37:2,3,4 41:22	68:4,9 71:5,18	67:3	truly 26:24
46:14 58:16,17,18	they'd 56:24	thousand 50:11	trust 7:9
71:20,20,22 72:11	they'll 56:8,13,15	thousands 49:7	trustee 7:9,17
74:23,23,24,25	57:21 68:11	threats 72:17	trusteeship 11:19
75:2,3,4	they're 39:20	three 13:18	try 12:7 16:3
thankfully 43:7	40:19 47:21 49:9	thursday 51:20	trying 22:3 39:8
thanks 11:8 39:1	50:19 51:6,23,24	time 15:8,10,11	39:10 48:22 51:9
that'll 51:5	52:2,5,8 53:10,13	19:10 25:6 27:12	56:15 63:5 67:10
that's 11:3,4 12:9	53:22 54:21 55:11	34:19 48:6 50:4	67:10 69:22
12:11,18 13:9	56:2 57:7,8,14	59:4 63:6 66:11	turn 43:14 54:3
16:22 18:13 19:4	67:1,2 70:15,16	73:2	turning 11:23
20:22 21:16 22:17	70:21	times 62:14	tweed 6:1
22:24,25 23:3,21	they've 41:13	timing 13:23	two 6:19 23:8,14
24:7,10,16,21	43:12,12,14 59:15	42:18,19 43:6,23	33:5 34:12 37:10
25:1,5,9,23,24	60:16	44:16	54:10 61:14 63:13
26:21,25 27:1	thing 15:23 26:19	title 31:18 48:1	63:14 72:24
28:23 30:2 33:9	65:8 70:10	today 21:5 37:10	type 70:6
36:20,20,23,24	things 40:8 47:9	44:9 50:12 58:21	types 59:13
37:14 41:3,6,18	50:3 53:22 63:14	today's 75:1	u
42:8,12 45:13,19	69:10 72:24	told 43:20 56:16	u.s. 1:23 7:17
46:4,23,25 48:13	think 11:15 12:19	74:15	33:16
49:9,21 52:18,18	12:21 17:1,13	tools 37:19 53:4	ucc 5:14 10:23
53:15 55:5,21,25	19:20 23:10,12	53:10 54:23 56:3	11:24 12:7,22
56:4,5,10 57:11	24:21 25:15 26:2	56:23	ultimately 29:10
58:12 59:15,24	27:15 29:3,4,24	totally 26:23 27:1	34:21 42:8
60:10,18 61:1,2	30:3,20 31:2,3	toxic 62:8	unclean 70:11,18
61:18 64:6,7	32:15,23 33:13,15		70:21
			70.21

[uncured - '13] Page 19

uncured 18:6	waited 49:23,24	we've 11:13 14:10	york 1:2 5:6,16
underlying 19:21	waived 36:15	14:12,13 15:11	6:20 7:12,19
28:8 72:6	wall 57:6	16:5,11 25:8 58:6	17:21 18:15,23
understand 13:9	want 17:3 21:11	71:24	19:20 24:2 25:1
14:3,24 15:13	29:2 39:7 47:23	white 1:14	34:2,10 61:24
22:4 29:3 30:20	50:19 53:7 54:13	who's 20:9,9	62:25 64:13 72:7
36:25 38:7 44:5	54:15 55:1 56:7	william 8:8	72:12,15
51:10 65:7 66:25	63:12 65:5 67:11	wilmington 7:9	you'd 11:2 49:12
70:24 74:8,13,18	68:18 71:2 72:18	withdraw 59:11	you'll 50:23 57:22
understanding	wanted 44:22	won't 16:15 72:19	you're 11:11
46:1,4 60:6	52:21	73:25	12:15 21:1 24:17
understood 25:13	wants 19:18	word 23:22 56:16	25:15 36:14 40:18
26:20 64:6	warehouse 46:2	words 23:20 33:7	41:15 48:21 51:6
undisputed 39:15	warehoused 3:7	42:18 55:2	58:24 59:1 63:25
unduly 57:1	warrant 25:22	work 12:6 16:3,10	67:13,15,22 68:6
uniondale 7:4	34:24 35:19,21,23	29:12,25 30:1	you've 16:18 17:1
united 1:1,12 7:16	36:1	worked 16:4,5,18	25:3 36:15
unsecured 2:9 4:4	warren's 34:10	working 49:3,19	Z
6:18 10:13 13:5	wasn't 25:7,25	51:21	zachary 8:19
update 10:20 11:9	63:21	worth 11:4 31:2	ziff 4:1 14:7
urban 2:23	water 44:12 48:9	42:4	zucker 9:12
utterly 67:18	way 11:15 25:12	writing 68:15	,
v	27:7 29:22 35:2	69:10,12	112 65 0
vacated 35:23	44:13 45:17 47:11	wrong 20:9 55:2	'13 65:9
vacatur 36:1	50:24 51:1 55:19	X	
varick 7:18	weed 34:10	x 1:4,10 68:24	
vein 17:8	week 59:25	xy 7:24	
vendor 56:22	weil 5:3 10:5 40:6	y	
vendors 42:6 44:6	46:16 71:23 went 65:19	veah 29:21 36:13	-
44:9,11 47:9 48:2	went 03.19 weren't 27:8	39:1 40:4 42:19	
51:16 56:15,18	we'll 44:2 48:11	42:20 45:15,15	
58:11	48:17 51:1,18	46:20 47:13 53:11	
verified 64:13	57:21 58:9 71:1,7	54:2 55:7 59:9	
veritext 76:20	72:18 74:9,10	60:24 62:10 65:10	
veronique 2:23	we're 10:22 11:22	65:25 70:24 71:1	
view 20:13 26:3	12:21 15:7 16:10	71:11,15 74:15	
32:23 33:22 66:19	24:19 37:10 39:8	year 24:17	
vii 30:11	43:17 49:19,22	years 24:16,17	
violation 34:12	50:17 51:22 52:3	yellowstone 25:1	
W	52:21 53:14,15	34:4,5	
wait 42:14 44:6	55:9,10,19,20	yesterday 10:12	
70:25 71:18	71:12 74:7	13:8	
	/1.12/7./		
		l .	